WOODING CARINS WOODING CARRIES

PROGRESS! FREE THOUGHT! UNTRAMMELED LIVES!

BREAKING THE WAY FOR FUTURE GENTRATIONS.

Vol. V.—No. 9.—Whole No. 113.

NEW YORK, JANUARY 25, 1873.

PRICE TEN CENTS.

7.00

PRICE TEN CENTS.

A MODERNEE AND THE STREET MACHINE STREET, THE STREET,

J. OSBORN. ADDISON CAMMACK,
OSBORN & CAMMACK,

Bankers,

No. 34 BROAD STREET,

STOCKS, STATE BONDS, GOLD AND FEDERAL SECURITIES, bought and sold on Com-

Rail Road Bonds.

Whether you wish to Buy or Sell write to

CHAS. W. HASSLER,

NEW YORK.

JOHN J. CISCO & SON, Bankers,

No. 59 Wall St., New York.

Gold and Currency received on deposit, subject to

Interest allowed on Currency Accounts at the rate of Four per Cent. per annum, credited at the end of

each month.
ALL CHECKS DRAWN ON US PASS THROUGH
THE CLEARING-HOUSE, AND ARE RECEIVED

ON DEPOSIT BY ALL THE CITY BANKS.

Certificates of Deposit issued, payable on demand, bearing Four per Cent interest.

Loans negotiated.

Orders promptly executed for the Purchase and Sale of Governments, Gold, Stocks and Bonds on commission.

Collections made on all parts of the United States

BAM'L BARTON.

HENRY ALL

A. S. HATCH.

Barton & Allen, Bankers and Brokers,

No. 40 BROAD STREET,

Stocks, Bonds and Gold bought and sold on commission.

HARVEY FISK.

OFFICE OF

FISK & HATCH,

BANKERS AND DEALERS IN GOVERNMENT SECURITIES,

No. 5 Nassau st., N. Y.,

Opposite U. S. Sub-Treasury.

We receive the accounts of Banks, Bankers, Corporations and others, subject to check at sight, and allow interest on balances.

We make special arrangements for interest on deposits of specific sums for fixed periods.

We make collections on all points in the United States and Canada, and issue Certificates of Deposit available in all parts of the Union.

We buy and sell at current rates, all classes of Government Securities, and the Bonds of the Central Pacific Railroad Company; also, Gold and Silver Coin and Gold Coupons.

We buy and sell, at the Stock Exchange, miscellaneous Stocks and Bonds, on commission, for cash.

Communications and inquiries by mail or telegraph, will receive careful attention.

FISK & HATCH.

A FIRST-CLASS

New York Security

AT A LOW PRICE.

The undersigned offer for sale the First Mortgage Seven Per Cent. Gold Bonds of the Syracuse and Chenango Valley Railroad, at 95 and accrued interest.

This road runs from the City of Syracuse to Smith's Valley, where it unites with the New York Midland Railroad, thus connecting that city by a direct line of road with the metropolis.

Its length is 42 miles, its cost about \$42,000 per mile, and it is mortgaged for less than \$12,000 per mile; the balance of the funds required for its construction having been raised by subscription to the capital stock.

The road approaches completion. It traverses a populous and fertile district of the State, which insures it a paying business, and it is under the control of gentlemen of high character and ability. Its bonds possess all the requisites of an inviting investment. They are amply secured by a mortgage for less than one-third the value of the property. They pay seven per cent. gold interest, and are offered five per cent. below par. The undersigned confidently recommend them to all class of investors.

GEORGE OPDYKE & CO., No. 25 Nassau Street.

THE

d LOANER'S BANK

OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, (ORGANIZED UNDER STATE CHARTER.)

Continental Life Builing, 22 NASSAU STREET, NEW YORK.

 CAPITAL
 \$500,000

 Subject to increase to
 1,000,000

This Bank negotiates LOANS, makes COLLECTIONS, advances on SECURITIES and receives DEPOSITS.

Accounts of Bankers, Manufacturers and Merchants will receive special attention.

FIVE PER CENT. INTEREST paid on CUR-

RENT BALANCES and liberal facilities offered to our CUSTOMERS.

DORR RUSSELL, President.

A. F. WILMARTH, Vice-President.

NEW YORK SAVINGS BANK.

EIGHTH AVENUE,

Cor. Fourteenth St.,

SIX PER CENT. INTEREST allowed on all sums from \$5 to \$5,000. Deposits made on or before August 1 will draw interest from August 1. Assets, \$2,473,808.05 Surplus, \$200,272.95.

RAILROAD IRON,

FOR SALE,

BY S. W. HOPKINS & CO.,

71 BROADWAY.

BANKING HOUSE OF

HENRY CLEWS & CO.

32 Wall Street, N. Y.

Circular Notes and Letters of Credit for travelers also Commercial Credits issued available throughout the world.

Bills of Exchange on the Imperial Bank of London, National Bank of Scotland, Provincial Bank of Ireland and all their branches.

Telegraphic Transfers of money on Europe, San Francisco and the West Indies.

Deposit accounts received in either Currency or Coin, subject to Check at sight, which pass through the Clearing House as if drawn upon any city bank; interest allowed on all daily balances; Certificates of Deposit issued bearing interest at current rate; Notes and Drafts collected.

State, City and Railroad Loans negotiated.

CLEWS, HABICHT & CO.,

11 Old Broad St., London.

BANKING & FINANCIAL

THE ST. JOSEPH AND DENVER CITY RAIL-

FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS

Are being absorbed by an increasing demand for them.
Secured as they are by a first mortgage on the Road,
Land Grant, Franchise and Equipments, combined
in one mortgage, they command at once a ready
market.

A Liberal Sinking Fund provided in the Mortgage Deed must advance the price upon the closing of the loan. Principal and interest payable in gold. Interest at eight (8) per cent. per annum. Payable semi-annually, free of tax. Principal in thirty years. Denominations, \$1,000, \$500 and \$100 Coupons, or Registered.

Price 97% and accrued interest, in currency, from February 15, 1872.

Maps, Circulars, Documents and information furnished.

Trustees, Farmers' Loan and Trust Company of New York.

Can now be had through the principal Banks and Bankers throughout the country, and from the undersigned who unhesitatingly recommend them.

> TANNER & CO., Bankers, No. 11 Wall Street, New York.

AUGUST BELMONT & CO.,

Bankers,

50 WALL STREET,

Issue Letters of Credit to Travelers, available in all parts of the world through the

MESSRS. DE ROTHSCHILD AND THEIR CORRESPONDENTS.

Also, make telegraphic transfers of money on California, Europe and Hayana. TOLEDO, PEORIA

ANTO

WARSAW RAILWAY,

SECOND MORTGAGE CON-VERTIBLE 7 PER

CENT. CURRENCY BONDS.

INTEREST WARRANTS PAYABLE

OCTOBER AND APRIL,

PRINCIPAL 1886.

We offer for sale \$100,000 of the above bonds in block. By act of reorganization of the Company these bonds are convertible into the First Preferred Shares of the Company, which amounts to only 17,000 shares, and into the Consolidated Bonds (recently negotiated at Amsterdam) of six millions of dollars, which cover the entire line of 230 miles of completed road, together with all the rolling stock and real property, to the value of more than ten millions of dollars. The road crosses the entire State of Illinois and connects with the mammoth iron bridges spanning the Mississippi at Keokuk and Burlington. The income of the road for the year will net sufficient to pay interest on all the bonded indebtedness and dividend on the preferred shares.

For terms apply to

CLARK, DODGE & CO.,

Corner Wall and William Streets.

MAXWELL & CO.,

Bankers and Brokers,

No. 11 BROAD STREET,

NEW YORK.

THE NAKED TRUTH;*

The Situation Reviewed!

Delivered in Cooper Institute, New York, Jan. 9, 1873,

Victoria C. Woodhull and Tennie C. Claffin.

TENNIE C. CLAFLIN'S ADDRESS.

[This address, which was to have been delivered upon the above occasion, was not so delivered, as will be seen by reference to our editorial columns.]

As introductory to the principal speech of the evening upon the subject, "The Naked Truth," I shall swiftly glance at some of the principal and important events and the causes and reasons that together led to the recent extraordinary circumstances, which are the occasion for this gathering. I shall not touch upon anything that might, by any strained rendition, be classed among tabooed subjects, therefore if the very pious representative of the very pious Young Men's Christian Association is present. he need not flatter himself that he is to have an opportunity to arrest me because I shall presume to touch the reputation of any revered citizen. But I may, in strict confidence, as I am going to be very confidential to you this evening, tell you a little secret, in which this worthy fellow is mixed up, which will not only serve to illustrate the motives that lie behind all this, but also to instruct you in the newly-defined rendition of obscenity. This representative, this paragon of consistency, this pink of perfection, this Comstock, I was informed, was taken aback by surprise and indignation, at the audacity of my sister in daring in his very face and eyes, to proclaim that she would speak upon so obscene a subject as "The Naked Truth." In his pure-minded Christian judgment, a speech upon any naked subject ought not to be permitted, and especially upon that of truth, which is so generally kept concealed; and my sister and I are not yet certain that he may not be present armed with an order of arrest for the horrible not be present, armed with an order of arrest for the horrible obscenity of presenting a naked subject for your consideration. If he is, I hereby notify him that it will not accomplish its purpose, since if he arrest my sister and me, we have provided another to make the address, for whom he can neither have nor obtain an arrest. Moreover it will be printed in extenso, in the next Woodhull & Claflin's Weely, therefore, if, as I said before, he be here, armed with one of his familiar weapons, he may as well put it in his pocket and permit the speech to be made by my sister and listen to it, as well, and he may, perhaps become a wiser, even if it be impossible that he become a better,

Hoping thus to have disposed of Comstock, I will at once to my subject. Whatever may be your ideas, as to whether individuals should, or should not, be permitted to think upon social freedom, and to advocate their ideas regarding it, none of you will, I dare say, presume to deny my sister and me, the right to advocate whatever religious views we may hold; and I further presume you will not object to our changing these views (at any time) according to any new light that may shine upon

Herein, I shall not hesitate to say that, in religion, we are the most thorough and, I trust, devout spiritualists; and that, whether we are deceived, insane, or whatever else may be conceived of, all our movements are largely the result of spirit influence, and often of positive direction. And we are proud to proclaim at all times and in all places that we yield willing obedience to all such requirements, because, through a long series of years, we have learned from frequent trial, to trust

We know, as well as any of you know what you are engaged in, that we are engaged in introducing new social views to the notice and for the consideration of the general public; and that these views look to radical and sweeping changes, in present systems, which everybody knows, must be changed, before anything like the millennium, in which all Christians pretend to believe, can be realized. Step by step have we been led on, from one thing to another, sometimes, ourselves, even fearing the results which might come, but ever being justified by what has come, until we now stand on the very brink of what we know is to be a social earthquake.

What this earthquake may destroy, who may be swallowed in its yawning chasms, or whether we, ourselves, may not be swept away, we do not know; but that great good to the great human family will come of it, we feel assured. Our course has not not always brought us peace, happiness and comfort; on the contrary we have suffered almost all the terrors to which human life is subject. Even now, we stand under two criminal indictments, upon both of which, if present public opinion, under the manipulations of the church and press, could have its way, whether according to law we are or are not guilty, we should be so adjudged; yet we rely upon the truth, as against all other powers that may be conjured up, to oppose it, and we know that it shall triumph, even if we are crushed in the process.

You must remember that many, if not most of you, to-day, worship One, who in doing His duty to His Father, died upon the cross. None of you imagine that it was a pleasant duty He

performed in thus yielding up His life; He did not live selfishly, for himself, as, I fear, most of those who profess him so loudly, live for themselves. He was despised of the authorities in government, in philosophy and in religion. His associates were Magdalenes, sinners and lowly fishermen; and yet you now exalt him to the throne of the Universe, and pretend weekly, at least, to bow in homage before His shrine.

I would not have it understood from this reference, that my sister and I presume to place ourselves as Christs of the present generation. On the contrary we wish it to be distinctly understood, that we lay claim to nothing, except this: that without fear or favor, we do what we believe to be right to do; that we live the life which is the best that we can live, and which we are willing the whole world should know, and that we obey the directions of those whom we know to be wiser than we are. Again I say you may credit us with insanity, if you will; but I pray you, along with it, to also give us credit for honesty of purpose.

It has been freely circulated in the press that we are simply notoriety seekers. Now let me ask you to consider, calmly, for a moment, the probabilities of such a thing. Do people usually invoke upon themselves continuous persecution, merely to obtain notoriety. Do they consciously invoke the terrific power of the press to crush them, to brand them before the world by every vile and detestable epithet known to language; do they seek the hoots and the jeers of the common multitudes, and the sneers and the upturned noses of the select few, wherever they go; do they purposely render themselves friendless, homeless and distressed in all possible and conceivable ways merely to become simply notorious? Nay, my friends! none of you can honestly say that you believe this. It requires stern convictions of duty; unflinching allegiance to purposes; undying devotion to principles, and an unswerving faith, to enable any one, and especially frail women, to endure unto the end, under all

these trials.

We have been charged, over and over again, with "forcing ourselves upon the public." Now for a moment, again, look at this, and see how utterly gratuitous and preposterous it is. Did we force any of you to come here to night? No! Every one of you came of his or her own accord and consent. And so, too, has it been with every one who has ever heard either of us speak, or who has ever read any of our writings. If, however, they mean that we have been persistent in endeavoring to explain to all who would listen or read, the principles of the new dispensation, then we plead guilty to the charge. But you must remember that to do this is the right of every American citizen, in the exercise of which no one can rightfully be abridged.

But beyond these general charges of practices, to which only the most unwise would ever think of resorting, there have been many and specific charges of still more criminal things. There is scarcely a crime in the calendar that we have not, in some way, either directly or by implication, been accused of. While we have regretted these things, and while we have been grieved beyond expression, that they have been cast upon us to impede the progress of the ideas which we advocate, we have, nevertheless, continued persistently to follow our path of duty. It is the same old story repeated again. We have presented arguments that could not be refuted by arguments, and abuse has been resorted to, to supply the deficiency. If one-half that has been charged against us, had even had a shadow of foundation in fact, we should have been long ere this, and justly too, in the Penitentiary.

too, in the Penitentiary.

Early in this course which has been opposed to us, we sometimes, almost fainted by the way-side. It was, almost a greater sorrow than we could endure to see the whole public press, teeming with the most outrageous and debasing items about us. Every woman knows what it requires to endure even the shadow of a reflection upon her private social life, to say nothing about sweeping charges, destroying in the minds of those who from them alone gather their information and make up their conclusion, every sentiment of respect, and making room for utter detestation and hate.

It has been said that we are utterly insensible to these things; but if the public knew whatit has cost us in sleepless nights, in heart-aches and in larceration of soul, to be able to perform our duties, under the heavy hand that has at times been laid upon us, you would wonder, not that we have maintained ourselves, but that we could ever presume to think of living at all.

But we have been conscious of rightful zeal directed, if not always in the wisest way, at least for high purposes, and this consciousness alone has sustained us in the many trials through which we have passed. Even this consciousness, had it not been accompanied by the approval and support of our Friends in Spirit, would have been unequal to our support. Thousands of men have sunk under even a moiety of the trials beneath which we have stood erect. If it be hard for men to battle with the misfortunes of life, how much more so is it for women to do so, in the existing social conditions.

There are thousands upon thousands in this country, who hate us with the most inveterate hate; who think us the personification of everything that is bad; who honestly believe that no fate could be too cruel for us to endure, and yet, not one of these people, of their own knowledge, know a single fact to justify their convictions. Especially is this true, now, in this city. Every possible circumstance is thurst in our way; every possible hindrance is brought to bear upon us. The "powers that be" resort, arbitrarily, to every high-handed measure within their reach. The Police of Brooklyn threaten to arrest everybody who attempts to sell the Weekly in that

city; while in this city, newsmen are intimidated in nearly the same way; so that it is next to impossible to get it before the public, and thus to make the public acquainted with our side of the case—all of which is nothing more or less than a high-handed outrage upon our civil rights, done without the slightest authority of law. But our counsel tell us that it would be useless to apply to the courts to vindicate our rights, since none of them would even consent to consider a case presented by us, at this time. And yet you call this a republican government, built upon the sovereignty of the individual!

built upon the sovereignty of the individual!

But, all this we must endure with as much patience as we can summon, still a little longer. The force of persecution, when so vigorously pressed, must shortly expenditself; and, when the reaction comes, the tide will set as strongly in the opposite direction, carrying confusion and dismay to every one who has joined in this unhallowed warfare. Man proposes, but God disposes; and we are very willing to act our part as best we may, and trust the rest to Him who "maketh even the wrath of man to praise Him." We have cast ourselves into the gap, broken in social despotism, and there we shall stand firmly and proudly, until the war shall be ended and the victory secured, even if it bring death to us. And I say here and now: We shall be justified!

Thus through storm and sunshine alike, we have steadfastly pursued our way, halting at nothing; but, shoulder to shoulder, battling together for what we believe to be the right and the truth. We began the publication of the Weekly, scarcely knowing for what it was destined or what work it was to perform; but, from the first, opening its columns to authors, whose thoughts were denied expression in all other journals. The Weekly has been, in fact, a free paper; and in the sense of subserviency to public or private opinion, the only Free Paper in the World. In its columns all earnest writers have found a place; and I believe it has made a more profund impression upon public thought than was ever made by any other journal, in the same length of time.

Most of you are, doubtless, well persuaded, that we were not arrested and cast into prison without an examination, because we had published anything which could, by any possible stretch of imagination, be called obscene; or that we had libeled anybody by willfully stating anything maliciously false; but you must be well aware that it was done, wholly for the purpose of destroying the Weekly, and through it, our further influence upon the public thought. This must be evident to all, when they see that the editors of other papers, publishing the self-same things that we published, have been arrested neither for obscenity or for libel.

But though having crippled us severely, the Weekly still lives, to hurl defiance in the faces of the enemies of freedom, and to proclaim the truths of the coming social epoch. And though I say it, who, perhaps, ought not to say it, it would be a calamity if it were to die from the present attack upon it, since its death by these means, would be a blow not only to the Weekly, but to every journal in the land; to a free press, as well as to free speech and free thought, from which it would require a half century to recover.

It is because it represents those principles more fully than any other journal, that I appeal to all who feel a deep and abiding interest in them to come to the support of the Weekly. These are the fundamental principles upon which a free government must be based, and they will be outraged, if, through continued persecution, we become so crippled, financially, as to be unable to continue its publication. Just a little additional present support, from those, only, who are friendly to the freedom it advocates, and it will be carried through its period of weakness and become fully, strongly and permanently established, as the foremost exponent of advanced thought upon all subjects.

And you must allow me again to remind you, though it may be only the Weekly that is threatened to-day by our persecution, that to-morrow it may be any other recalcitrant journal which dares to utter a thought beyond the standard prescribed by the Young Men's Christian Association. One step, securely taken in the direction of despotism, is sure to be followed by more arbitrary steps in the same direction. Therefore, for your own safety, and for the safety of the general principle of freedom, it becomes the duty, not less than it should be the privilege, of every American citizen, to see to it that this attempt upon the liberty of the press and against free speech does not succeed. Not merely, nor mainly, because it is the Weekly, and the advocate of advanced social ideas, but for the other, and more fundamental reason—that it is a vital and necessary principle to Republican institutions—should such a precedent be not established.

I should be glad to speak to you about the complemental attempt in Boston to suppress free-speech; but since it was the self-same spirit there, that I have been speaking of as being exhibited here, I will not consume the time. Suffice it to say that we can well afford to have our names enrolled with those of Garrison, Phillips, Channing and Webster as suffering as they suffered, from that spirit of puritanical despotism, for which Boston is proverbial, but which I pray Heaven may never obtain a foothold here, and thus add to the foul blotch of the abridgment of the freedom of the press under which we already labor that still fouler one—the abridgment of free speech.

labor that still fouler one—the abridgment of free speech.

I now with pleasure give way to my sister, Victoria C. Woodhull, who will address you upon that terrible subject, "The Naked Truth."

VICTORIA C. WOODHULL'S ADDRESS.

My Friends and Fellow-Citizens:—I come into your presence from a cell in the American Bastile, to which I was consigned by the cowardly servility of the age. I am still held under heavy bonds to return to that cell, or to meet my trial in a United States Court, upon a scandalous charge trumped up by the ignorant or the corrupt officers of the law, conspiring with others to deprive me, under the falsest and shallowest pretences, of my inherited previleges as an American citizen. In my person, the freedom of the press is assailed, and stricken down, and such has been the adverse concurrence of circumstances that the press itself has tacitly consented, almost with unanimity, to this sacrilegious invasion of one of the most sacred of civil rights. Public opinion too has been abused into concurring for a moment with this outrage.

But I have no intention of entering upon a specific defense of myself to-night. I was not unaware of what would be done when the method of social agitation, which furnished the grounds for the tyrannous exercise of power, was begun, and I am not disappointed. I was informed of the old United States statute, regarding the transmission of obscene literature through the mails, and also of the law as amended last June to specially meet this case. To suppress our paper was the only method of precedure by which the old regime could meet our argumentation; but its representatives, though wise in their own conceit, have unwittingly played directly into my hands, and for the benefit and ultimate triumph of the very thing they

In this, which to me, is the higher and truer sense, instead of being my enemies and persecutors, as they are in spirit and purpose, they are my active, most efficient and most effective allies; and I wish it to be distinctly understood at the very outset, that whatever I may feel called upon to say in arguing the subject upon the plane, and for the more complete understanding of the people, I here and now claim that Mr. Comstock, the characteristic agent of the Young Men's Christian Association, acting under the inspiration of Messrs. Bowen, Claffin & Co., members of Plymouth Church; that District Attorney Noah Davis, and his assistant, General Davies, and Mr. Commissioner Osborne, backed up by those who are determined, as was stated in the *Tribune*, "to run her to the earth if it took every hour of his life and every dollar of his fortune," and the United States Grand Jury; that Mr. Challis, with his hundred thousand dollars, and Mr. Justice Fowler; in a word, that all and every one of them who have had any active or passive hand in what, in the common acceptance, is personal and vindictive persecution, though personally enemies to me, are, indeed, my most esteemed friends, without whom and their recent active and well-calculated interference, no such vantage as the present revolution has attained could possibly

have been gained.

Therefore, without further argument, I hold that I am justified in claiming them all as my faithful though unwilling allies in the social revolution in which I am engaged; and to whatever length their ire, their hate, their vindictiveness, their bitter foolishness, their stupidity or ignorance may push them on in their line of action, they inevitably proceed just so much the further to secure the rapid and complete success of the latest, greatest and grandest revolution of the centuries, to the inauguration and completion which I am thoroughly and entirely devoted and consecrated.

But from this morally philosophic standpoint of observation, which is the one from which I wish it to be understood that I wage my warfare and upon which I can have no enemies, I shall descend to the more common plan of general controversy to discuss the matter with you, and from this position I am compelled, in the first place, to arraign the courts; secondly, as the moulder of public opinion, the press; thirdly, a bigoted, ignorant, and persecuting public opinion. Moreover I am also compelled, and here you must pardon the frankness of my speech, to arraign you who are my friends and who are my fellow-citizens, for moral cowardice and traitorousness to the spirit of American institutions.

But in all this I "shall criticise them with as little personality as I can give to action, all of which has been so personal. I shall withhold, too, as far as I can, every expression of resent ment; and no one who knows all I knew of this matter would fai to credit me with singular and great moderation. For behind what I shall relate, there are other histories every incident of which I have rescued from the obscurity to which they were confided; and as I think of them, it is with difficulty that I restrain my just indignation," but I shall confine myself to a calm and dispassionate discussion of what is already before the public, leaving other things to rise to the surface when circumstances which I do not care to control, shall permit or compel them. Saul of Tarsus, when he stood by and held the garments of them who stoned the martyr Stephen, took on his soul the guilt of the blood of "the first martyr," and you, in so far as you have consented, even tacitly and inertly, to the unheard-of persecution which has been directed against my sister and me in the name of the law, have been implicated in that great wrong, and perhaps before I am done, you will perceive your unconscious guilt to have been far greater than you have heretofore supposed. But I repent of the intention of arraigning you, even before entering upon its execution ...

You may rightly plead, in mitigation to the fact, that the newspapers have systematically abstained hitherto from presenting our side of the case; that the officers of the law, pretend-

ing to act on behalf of the people and in the interests of public virtue, have interfered with the high hand, have seized our types, have broken up our business, have purloined our private papers, have suppressed our paper in transitu in the mails, have thrown us into jail, and have so cut us off from the means of communicating with the public that our voice could not be heard; that the truth of the matter, as we see it and know it to be, has never come to your knowledge; and that you have been obliged, therefore, in a great measure, to form your opinions in ignorance of the facts.

My sister and myself are now indicted in the United States Court on the preposterous charge of sending obscene literature through the United States mail—a charge which the officers of the Government will never dare to bring to a trial, as they cannot afford themselves to be brought into complete ridicule. If anything which I can say in this address, or which I can say or write at any time, can provoke or sting them into the folly and madness of exposing the weakness and the damnable outrage of this unhallowed proceeding against peaceable and law-abiding citizens, by bringing the case to trial, I shall consider that success the most fortunate event of my life. But I now predict before you, and make my formal record of that prediction for future reference and use, that I shall fail, utterly fail in the attempt. The District Attorney, and everybody concerned in this nefarious matter have either already accomplished all they aimed at, by covering my sister and me with the odium of being prisoners and accused of a scandalous offense, or they have had enough of the whole matter, seeing that it was a blunder from the start, and wish themselves fairly rid of it.

I repeat my prediction, and make it as marked and distinct as possible: the United States Government will never proceed to trial, in the case now on their court docket in the Southern District of New York, against Victoria C. Woodhull and Tennie C. Claffin, for violating the statute of Congress against transmitting obscene literature through the mails. They will never dare to do it. On the other hand, I predict that the Government will not enter a nolle prosequi, and make thereby the only honorable reparation, to some slight extent, which it would be in their power to make, for the outrage they have committed on our individual rights. And, my friends, you must not forget that, when an individual is wronged, by the superaction of law officers, the whole people is thereby outraged. I predict, however, that the course they will pursue will be to hold the case over our heads, as a threat, to delay and postpone it from time to time, to pretend that they intend to bring us to trial, and yet never to do so in fact; in the hope that fortune will favor them in getting them out of the scrape, through our death or poverty, or virtual surrender to the force of a long-continued persecution.

But they reckon without their host. The cards of fate are shuffled for a different deal. I give notice here and now, I hope the occasion is a sufficiently public one, that the publication office of Woodhull & Claflin's Weekly is at 48 Broad street, New York city, and that from that office there will soon be issued and sold to all applicants a revised edition of the suppressed number of Woodhull & Claflin's Weekly, containing the "Beecher-Tilton Scandal," and that within a few weeks there will probably have been sent a million copies of it to every part of the world, so that the whole public shall be my jury and decide whether there is anything obscene in that earnest and all-important statement.

The United States officials can afford anything else better than to become utterly ridiculous in the eyes of the legal profession and of the public generally; and they know already that there is not a respectable lawyer or citizen, who has read our journal and knows its character, who supposes for a moment that the United States have any case against us. They can even better afford to be known as persecutors of individuals, having the rights only of public prosecutors, rather than to continue to hold to the charge of obscenity. The pretension is too flimsy to bear a moment's inspection.

The issue of our paper in question, that of Nov. 2, 1872, is, undoubtedly, one of the boldest we have ever issued in the war we are conducting in behalf of progress, free thought and untrammelled lives; breaking the way for future generations; but throughout all its fifty closely printed columns, there is only one passage, and that of only three lines, to be found in the article now known as the Challis article, which the most fastidious literary critic, who was honest, could by any possibility construe into obscenity; and that is not half so bad as a hundred isolated passages which might be selected from the bible, and which pious fathers and mothers and moral teachers, and perhaps they who belong to the Young Men's Christian Association, read in the family before prayers, to youth of both sexes, morning and evening, all over the land.

But neither the zealot Comstock, nor the District Attorney, nor the Grand Jury had, as yet, got their eye on this dreadful three-line paragraph when they had my sister and me arrested and, with unseemly haste, indicted for obscenity. Their procedure was based entirely on the "Beecher-Tilton Scandal," and it was an after-thought altogether when the Assistant District Attorney said in court that he "meant the whole paper"—an after-thought for which I am greatly obliged to him, as it puts him in my power in ways of which he is, as yet, totally unconscious.

Their action was, as they then avowed, entirely for the purpose

of "protecting the reputation of revered citizens," as if it were any part of their business to protect the reputation of anybody by instituting an arbitrary censorship of the press in this free country, or except in an action for libel which had not been instituted nor even thought of; as if Americans were living under the paternal wing of self-constituted legal protectors, self-constituted tyrants, otherwise speaking; and finally, as if the charge of obscenity was in any way related to that of the attack on, or the defense of, "revered citizens."

The law was open to Mr. Beecher and others for any wrong done them, and they did not move in the matter, for reasons which were alike satisfactory to them, and to us, at the time, and which are now rapidly becoming satisfactory to the whole public. What right, then, had the District Attorney, and through his agency and solicitation, the Grand Jury—the United States, in other words—through their representatives to interfere, and still, without charging any libel, to trump up another factitious and scandalous, flimsy and ridiculous, irrelevant and preposterous charge, to get, by indirection, at the result they wished to achieve—that, in a word, of simply stopping our mouths at any cost, to protect the reputations of "revered citizens," and entirely irrespective of the question whether we were telling the truth or not, or of the other question, whether our motives were good or bad? As in the case of the slave-holders and Garrison, it was not a question of the truth, nor of the motive, but of the absolute necessity for their keeping us hush on the subject.

Now, it so happens that in another case, that of Challis against Col. Blood, entirely apart from that of the United States against my sister and me, the whole Challis article came before the public and was published in full, or, as the lawyers say, verbatim, literatim et punctatim, in the New York Herald, and various other newspapers; has been, in a word, pretty extensively circulated over the country in other newspaper columns than our own, and Mr. Comstock has not informed upon, and Mr. District Attorney Noah Davis has not presented, and the Grand Jury have not indicted the publishers of the Herald and the other papers.

Is it that men are so generally accustomed to say and print obscenity that these paragons of purity and protectors of pious and revered citizens don't mind that? Is it that the masculine sex has the monopoly of obscenity as they have of tobacco and bad whisky? Is it that obscenity is any less obscenity when it appears in the *Tribune* or the *Times* or the *Herald*? Or is it that those publishers are known to be rich and powerful, while we were supposed, from our recent misfortunes, to be poor, and possibly friendless? Or is it, after all, that the whole world knows that these respectable and well-to-do publishers and editors don't mean anything by it, except merely to make money in the ordinary course of business, while it feels instinctively that we are in earnest, and mean to do what we can to put an end to the vicious conditions of society, obscenity among the rest of them? Is it, then, the old story that the craft is in danger, that practices and habits and modes of life exist, and are held to be respectable, and must be protected, which are so obscene in their character, that we cannot even mention them without seeming to be, ourselves, guilty of obscenity? Is it the old cry of "stop thief," merely to turn away attention? Is it the old fear that the temple of the great goddess Diana should be despised, and her magnificence should be destroyed, whom all Asia and the world worshipeth? Finish out the reading of the nineteenth chapter of the Acts of the Apostles, when you go home to your houses, and inwardly reflect on it and digest the old story, and you will understand the whole subject better than by the reading of all the newspapers.

It is not the question of Henry Ward Beecher, nor of Theodore Tilton, nor of Theodore Tilton's Wife, nor of Victoria C. Woodhull, nor of John H. Noyes, nor of Stephen Pearl Andrews, nor of Brigham Young, nor of all these combined, nor of a thousand more like them; but it is the question of a new gospel, of the new "word of God," adapted to this age and generation, as the truth of that olden day was to that. Put for the Jesus then preached, the Logos that "became flesh and dwelt among us, and we beheld his glory * * * full of grace and truth," first chapter of John; put in the place of this narrower and more infantile conception, All truth whatsoever, scientific, socialistic, universal, and read for Paul and Silas, and Barnabas and Timotheus, and Erastus, and the other faithful missionaries of that day, the Mary Woolstencrofts, the Fanny Wrights, the Owens, the St. Simons, the Fourriers, the Comtes, the Garrisons, the Warrens, the Andrews, the Noyes, the Woodhulls—in a word, the expounders and the agitators for liberty, and a new order of social affairs, in this epoch all over the world—and the old book may be read again; and every word will be true still, and true in a higher sense, or at any rate in a farther on development. The teachers and reformers of this, the social era, are but the prototypes, under other names, of the teachers and reformers of all other and past eras. But new truths will always persist in being new. The world is always taken again by surprise, and on its weak side, by every new gospel, by every new dispensation of truth, and betrayed again into the unseemly conduct of receiving and treating its annunciators as enemies of the public welfare and as criminals to be persecuted or punished? I might, perhaps, complete the parallel by substituting Henry Ward Beecher in the place of Nicodemus, and somebody, I will not even guess who, in the place of Judas Iscariot. Whoever

he may be his name will pass into history; perhaps in some subsequent edition of one of the gospels or of the Acts of the Apostles, which may be part of the standard religious literature of the next eighteen centuries.

But I am diverted from my direct purpose which was to point out to you the inconsistencies of our honorable representatives of the law, and to show you why they will not dare to arrest me again, for defying them, and doing again precisely what I did, just as innocently and rightfully before

just as innocently and rightfully, before.

I have told you that the New York *Herald* has boldly and unhesitatingly reprinted the most objectionable matter which was contained in the number of our paper which was suppressed by violent and illegal seizures, and for which we were arrested. Not only this, but, in our very next issue, we deliberately reprinted the language of that terrible three-line sentence, the same as contained in the *Herald*, repeating it several times; and we have not been complained of for doing so.

But that is not all. George Francis Train, like a true knighterrant as he is, flew to our side as a champion, when we were in prison, and, treating the matter with his peculiar idiosyncrasy, he published and had circulated broadcast through the city and sent through the mails, several numbers of a newspaper sheet which he styled *The Train Lique*. In it, he repeated and paraded and rang the changes in every possible way, upon every one of the possible objectionable passages in our whole paper, being purposely, if we were obscene in a direct, simple statement of facts, ten times more audaciously obscene in reproducing us, flaunting his utterances in the very faces of those distinguished legal authorities who had arrested us, "stumping them," as the boys say, with every insulting circumstance of provocation to arrest him on the same charge.

But the heroes of the United States law had become wary in conducting the warfare. They saw that they had already, so to speak, "put their foot in it;" or, permit me still to be homely in my expressions, and "not to put too fine a point upon it," they "smelt a rat," after the District Attorney found that revered citizens could not be brought into court. They couldn't afford to become utterly ridiculous, and there was something in the atmosphere, that warned them that they were becoming, just a little ridiculous, just a little odious, and just a little contemptible already; contemptible for their ignorance of the law and of the literature they assumed to judge of; contemptible for their ignorance of the American principle of the freedom of the citizen and the press; contemptible for their unconscionable usurpation of authority, and contemptible, above all, for their ungallant and ungentlemanly discrimination against women, in their exercise of their judicial functions when there were so many men who could be charged as we were charged.

You now see why the District Attorney will not have me arrested again for repeating my offense against "revered citizens"—offense, forsooth!—for exercising my simple and unquestionable rights as an editor and an advocate of social reform. He can't arrest all the James Gordon Bennetts, all the George Francis Trains and all the Victoria C. Woodhulls; and he can't, in the face and eyes of this exposure, arrest Tennie and me and throw us again into Ludlow-street Jail, for publishing precisely the same things which they publish, and which Train published, purposely exaggerated, to show that they dare not trouble him. He cannot arrest us unless he is ready to arrest us all, or along with us, all the other editors in the city.

The District Attorney can't afford to arrest everybody who says a naughty word, not even to carry out his assumed new office of protector of the reputation of "revered citizens." Pushed one step too far, his procedure in that direction incurs ridicule. "Come shortly off," not impartially carried out; not applied even to those who flaunt their offenses and try to get arrested, in order to bring out the absurdity, it will at a certain point provoke universal indignation. The United States District Attorney cannot afford either to have a ridiculous cognomen annexed to his name. That would swamp him in this community. "A hasty plate of soup" tacked to the reputation of even a great man, and in good-natured jocosity, haunted and annoyed him to his grave. The District Attorney is not even a great man, and his nickname may have real meaning in it. I warn him not to defy the stab of a steel pen! Inventive genius sometimes displays itself in other ways than in devising new offenses against the law or new offices as public protectors of the reputation of revered citizens.

Another reason why the Government cannot, very well, proceed to trial, is this: Since our arrest, Attorney-General Williams, of President Grant's Cabinet, has, at the instance and by request of Postmaster-General Creswell, rendered an opinion having a direct bearing upon this case, as follows:

having a direct bearing upon this case, as follows:

"Post-office officials have no right to open or detain letters or other matter transmitted through the Post-office, though they may know they contain obscene matter. And Postmasters have no more authority to open letters, other than those addressed to themselves, than have other citizens of the United States."

Coupled with this, we have the denial of the fofficials of the New York Post-office, as to their complicity in the outrage committed upon the mails. Mr. Knapp, the special agent of the Post-office Department, said he read the paper of November 2 from beginning to end, and he would not take any responsibility, such as the District Attorney desired him to take, to hold the entire mail on account of alleged obscenity. Therefore, under the opinion of the Attorney-General, and the disclaimer of Mr. Knapp, the District Attorney stands in the position of having, with the cognizance of somebody, robbed the mail of the package of papers upon which the charge was based. Do

THE REPORT

you not see, then, that Mr. District Attorney Davis or Mr. As sistant District Attorney Davies cannot afford to move further

The fellow Comstock is, I think, too conceitedly egotistic to realize the position into which his action has placed him. He is also, I think, just enough fool and knave combined, to believe he can himself "put up a job" and then make others responsible for it. He it was instead of ourselves who procured the placing in the Post-office of the package complained of, by buying the papers and having them addressed and sent to the Post-office on his own account, by a person having neither right or out to procure the papers.

authority to act for my sister and me.

The watchword that led Mr. Greeley to an untimely grave was "Anything to beat Grant;" but the watchword of this set of worthies who, by arresting us, hoped to squelch the Weekly, is anything to save "revered citizens" who have unwritten private histories. Obdurate as they must have been, ever to have attempted such a proceeding; impervious to common sense and impossible of wise judgment as they were, to seek to evade the very issue they had themselves evoked, by an indictment grown, like a mushroom, in a single night, I do not think that even they are so utterly foolhardy, in respect to their future reputation, as to push what has already been fully passed upon and adversely to them decided by all thoughtful people.

I tell you, Mr. District Attorney, very frankly, I have your head "in chancery," and I intend to punch it. I believe this is a correct use of the language of the ring, although I am not, literally, a pugilist; and I may make a mistake in the thing said, but not in the thing meant. That you may rest assured of, unless you mend your manners, and then I may have larger game to fly at and may forget my little appointment with you. In the meantime please don't have me arrested,—forgetting my sex—for this unfortunate allusion, to the prize ring, on a charge of being a prize-fighter; for I perceive, now I have said it, that this verbal expression holds precisely the same relation to an offense against the laws prohibiting pugilistic encounters, by professional bruisers, as what we published in our paper, holds to an offense against the laws prohibiting obscene literature.

Pickwick, as a prosecuting official, enhances the dangers of literature generally. By some mental obfuscation of such a public functionary, there is no telling how soon some woman may be arrested for adultery, for kissing her own boy baby. I commend the subject to the consideration of the Young Men's Christian Association. The blue laws of Connecticut had the advantage of being printed, after a sort; but these new rip raps of journalism are not laid down on any chart. They must be sought for in the experiences of women thrust into Ludlow-street Jail, through the professional ignorance, stupidity, and lack of common intelligence, or what not, of men who happen to fill the

I would not be misunderstood, and you might not understand me if I were not to explain that Mr. Train is arrested; but you must remember that it did not come through the efforts of the gentleman of whom I have been speaking, although the case was, I believe, urgently presented for his action. The two cases present this paradox: while the United States authorities acted upon our case only after the State authorities had refused to take cognizance of it, the State authorities acted upon the case of Mr. Train only after the United States authorities had refused to take cognizance of it. Therefore, though Mr. Train is under arrest, upon a charge of obscenity, what I have said of the District Attorney, with whom I have an account to settle, is, nevertheless, strictly true.

Again: This affair with Mr. Train is, to me, utterly incomprehensible. I can very well understand why the youthful zeal of the Christian Young Men should stand horrified at the doctrines of the Weekly, especially when they are accompanied by personal illustrations of the mysteries of godliness; but that their reverential piety should pretend to be shocked because Mr. Train, in his zeal to expound the Bible to the understanding of those who are not as familiar with it as they should be, considering that they account themselves as good, Christians, is, as I said, simply incomprehensible. Can it be possible that he is a better Biblical scholar than are the representatives of the Young Men's Christian Association, that he should have found a phase of religion in their Holy Book of which they knew nothing? It seems that this must be so, since there is no other explanation for their conduct in arresting him for quoting the Bible on them, except that they are themselves actually ashamed to have the attention of the world called to the true character of the Book which they claim to be the infallible word of their God.

I speak with no feeling of disrespect for this venerable Book, or of Him whom they claim as its Author; but what must we think of a God who speaks language to his people that, when used by others than by those of their own household, even His Elect feel called upon to prosecute the intruder upon what is all their own, for obscenity? If Mr. Train is guilty of obscenity for printing extracts from the Bible, is not the American Bible Society equally guilty for printing the same in the Bible as a whole? Nor can they dodge the issue they themselves have evoked, by saying that the charge is not based upon the Bible quotations, since those quotations form, by far, the most objectionable part of the Train Lique; and I am free to confess that, if any language can be called obscene, the extracts in question must be so considered; and it is clear that Mr. Train so considered them, since his nice sense of honor would not permit him to plead "not guilty" to the charge of obscenity.

to plead "not guilty" to the charge of obscenity.

But I do not quite understand how the beyond-discretionzealousness of the Christian Young Men is going to extricate

them from the ridiculous position into which they have been led. Of course it was ridiculous for them to have arrested my sister and me for obscenity for the language contained in the Weekly of November 2d, and not to arrest Mr. Train for the infinitely more obscene language contained in the Train Ligue. pitied them for the scrape into which their hate of me had betrayed them. Having put their foot into it, in our case, they did not know exactly how to escape ridicule and permit Mr. Train to pass unnoticed, he having purposely flaunted them; and, as it usually occurs when people are in a predicament, in attempting to get out of it, they have the more perceptibly floundered in it. Perhaps but a few of the community realized, in fact, how deeply they "got into it," in our case; but now the whole community cannot fail to know the full extent to which the Only Elect will go - the utter folly they will commit, the altogether frantic efforts they will put forth to save the only escape known to them from the hell of which they stand in mortal fear.

But excuse me, that I have not as yet told you anything of what this is all about. The events that I alluded to have formed so intense a portion of my life for the last few weeks, that I forget that the truth of the matter has never even been stated to you. The press, for reasons which I will state presently, has been just as nearly silent, as it has been possible to be. Only the fact that we had been arrested and thrown into jail, because we had been doing something, or saying something, awfully naughty, which even must not be mentioned—and that we, who until recently, have rather been petted and favored by the public, had suddenly become awfully bad people, was suffered to go out to the world. Meantime we have bided our time and waited for the storm to blow over, to see whether it was really we or

somebody else who had been hart.

I speak of myself as conducting a warfare on the present impacted mass of love and hate, of confidence and jealousy, of prudery and flippancy, of deceit and hypocrisy, marital infidelity, sexual debauchery, seduction, abortion and consequent general moral degradation, all mingled in frightful confusion, and labeled the social system. When I think of this as being the foundation of morality, as it is called, I wonder if to the label it ought not to be added, "to be well shaken before taken." Unfortunately, however, it is a warfare, because the world will insist on making war on me and my ideas. For my-self, I love everybody; every human being, and have no desire in my soul to fight or contest with anybody; I would far rather be engaged in teaching what I know, and in learning of others who are wiser than I am, what they know. Least of all, have I any piques to gratify, or any personal hostilities to wage with any one.

In the prosecution of a mission to which I feel myself called, for the annunciation of ideas, and, for so much of warfare and attack, as the natural reaction against those ideas has provoked, or may provoke or demand, I resolved to lay open to the world, something of the convictions and of the lives of leading individals in the community, which would go to strengthen my position, if they were known, and which, while concealed and believed to be wholly opposite, went to weaken it, and to render my task and the task of others who openly stand with me, infinitely

In accordance with this determination, and for reasons which are more elaborately set forth in the article itself, we published in our issue of November 2d, the Beecher-Tilton Scandal. In that article I stated what I happened very well to know to be true, by means therein fully stated, that Henry Ward Beecher is, on conviction, a free-lover, as I am, and as many of the noblest and wisest of the representative men and women of the land, and of the world, really are, whether they have or have not the moral courage—by which I mean fidelity to their convictions—to avow it; and, to make good my assertions in respect to his theory—derived, probably, as many as fifteen or twenty years ago, from the writings and counsels of Fourrier, Warren, Andrews and other great socialistic thinkers—I stated, with detail and circumstances, facts which were also in my knowledge, derived in a great part from Mr. Beecher himself, in a way which dispensed me from any obligation of confidence, to the effect that he had not hesitated to live his own life of social freedom in his own way, and I added, that these facts were well known to a considerable circle of Mr. Beecher's church and congregation, and that I had been taken into this circle socially and intimately, because they learned that I had become possessed of these facts (in the first instance through Paulina Wright Davis and Elizabeth Cady Stanton, and subsequently through Mr. Tilton himself, and others), and because they feared that I would publish them, and because by communicating them unreservedly, they hoped to divert me from my purpose to use them in behalf of the interests of social emancipation, and the great principle of human freedom.

In finally making this publication, I was actuated by no other motive. To make this fact, if possible, comprehensible to you and the public, I will again state for the hundredth time what I mean, and what the great thinkers who have come to conviction on this subject, which they have been trying for half a century to intimate or communicate to the world, mean, by social freedom or free love; an idea which it seems so very difficult for the majority of mankind to understand, or rather which they seem determined not to understand.

Free love means nothing more and nothing less, in kind, than free worship, freedom of the press, freedom of conscience, free trade, free thought, freedom of locomotion (without a passport

system), free schools, free government, and the hundred other precious, special systems of social freedom, which the great heroes of thought have fought for, and partially secured for the world, during this last period of the world's growth and expansion. It is all one and the same thing, it is just freedom and nothing else. It is simply impossible that any great thinker like Mr. Beecher, if the subject is once fairly brought before his mind, can see the matter in any other light; and, if he is on the side of freedom at all, if he believes in the American principle, in the Declaration of Independence, in anything distinctly American, that he should come to any other conclusion, than that the compulsory regulation of our love affairs by statute law, is a remnant, as slavery was, of an old and opposite order of things, is simply ridiculous.

Mr. Beecher believes in free worship, that is to say, in the freedom of every individual to worship God as he sees fit, or not to worship him at all; he believes also in freedom of conscience, and also, doubtless, that every act of his life should be made a matter of conscience; how then can he or could he be anything else than a free lover? How can I regulate my life by my conscience, in the most secret and sacred things, if it has already been regulated for me by the hurried and ignorant legislation of a set of crude and corrupt legislators at Albany? It is the question whether our virtue, if we have any, shall be something vital and self regulating, or whether it shall be some-

thing dead, formal and legal, merely.

But what, in the next place, is freedom? Folks talk and think-I fear, my dear hearers, that you yourselves still thinkthat freedom means merely the license to do something bad. Is there, then, no need of freedom equally to do good things and right things? How easily you understand all this subject if we take it into any other sphere than just this one of love. We Americans believe in the freedom of worship, which is eminently an American doctrine. It is already secured, for at least this country. Does it follow that all Americans rush at once into devil worship? Do they as a matter of fact, erect altars and churches to the devil? Is it true, even, of the majority, that they do so? You know it is not; and yet anybody is perfectly free here—anywhere from the broad Atlantic to the broader Pacific to erect altars and churches to the devil; and if they did so, you and I, Mr. Beecher, and the most conservative and orthodox divines, all over the land, would stand staunchly up together, as one man, in defense of their perfect (civic) right to do so; for if anybody can say, arbitrarily, that anybody else, shall not worship the devil, that same somebody may next say, in the same arbitrary way, that nobody shall worship God.

If anybody have the right to prohibit the erection of a Chinese Joss temple on our shores, he must have equally the right to prohibit baptism by immersion or by sprinkling, or the elevation

of the host, or the saying of mass.

As the condition of our freedom, therefore, we as Americans, insist on the freedom of others, on the right of others, to do even that, and just that, which we, as individuals, believe to be wrong. Nay, more, I hope that the doctrine reaches far deeper; that it is not because it is the condition of our own freedom, that we assent to all this, but that it is that THAT itself is our religion; not merely or chiefly that, in certain times, it favors and secures us, which is still a selfish and insecure basis for freedom, but that we penetrate to the divine essence of the idea, and see and know that this ultra, radical idea of freedom is the profoundest of moral truths and of sound solutions; and hence that we are devotees for its maintenance and defense, because it is intrinsically true, and whether it works well or ill for our in-

dividual predictions at the time.

I know that this is a fearful and tremendous doctrine. I know that it is the most searching and testing of all doctrines, of the fidelity and honesty of our own love of truth. I doubt if one in a million of this great American people, who have nevertheless, founded their institutions on the idea, have yet penetrated to the full significance of the idea. I doubt whether you, any of you, fully realize the profundity of the moral convictions, on which this Government was founded. And yet in this matter of worship, we have substantially realized the ideal. A hundred churches lift their spires to heaven, side by side, in the same city, dedicated to as many different orders of worship and creed, and all the congregations, peacefully and with mutual respect, pursue, from Sabbath to Sabbath, their various attractions and convictions; and all this, simply because we have wisely concluded (after thousands of years of bloodshed and strife over the subject) to say "hands off;" and to remit the whole subject to the conscience, to the judgment, to the good taste (or the bad taste), in a word, to the individuality of the individual-which is free-

Now let us return to the matter of love. The real thinkers believe, that this same principle will work the same harmonious and beautiful results, in this sphere also, and will completely and divinely regulate in the end, and, coupled with all other good influences, all our social disharmonies. They believe that our social disharmonies, those, I mean, of the family, are prolonged and aggravated, by the futile attempts of legislation to regulate them, just as the religious strifes of the past were fomented by a similar outside interference; and that, left entirely to themselves, they will regulate themselves.

It is not now the question whether this is true or not. I am simply saying that those who have thought most about it believe it is true. I believe it, and Mr. Beecher believes it; and thousands of the most thoughtful and refined people, who do not dare to approve it, except cautiously to each other, believe it; and it is your prejudices, and the prejudices of the people at large, which compel them to hypocrisy in concealing opinions, which they cannot, nevertheless, be wholly hindered from acting upon. And it is not, as the country people believe, because the cities are more vicious, but simply because they are more enlightened about the real significance of freedom, that these doctrines prevail more extensively in the great cities.

But now see, again, how you, and the people at large, misunderstand us on this subject. I have said sometimes and often, that I live my own free life in accordance with my doctrine; and I said in my Steinway Hall speech, something to the effect, that I have the right to change my love every day or every night if I choose to do so; and the public press, and the public itself, cry out in chorus, Mrs. Woodhull confesses that she lives an utterly abandoned life; she lives and sleeps with two or three or five hun-

dred or some other egregious number of men.

Now all this is very absurd, and the public will come, at some early day, to be very much ashamed of it. Let us return to the matter of worship; and suppose I had been engaged in fighting the battle for that freedom; and suppose I had said, I go to church or not, as I please, and I have a right to go to a different church, and worship God in a different way, every Sunday of my life, and suppose on the strength of this, the public said, Mrs. Woodhull confesses that she has no religious convictions whatever, and that she is an out-and-out infidel, or that one church is no better than another, and all are equally bad, etc. church is no better than another, and all are equally bad, etc. Why, the merest tyro in reasoning would see how utterly in-consequential were these conclusions, and would set down their holders as the stupidest of asses.

Now, probably there are not ten in this audience—in many an audience that I address there is not one—who know or have any right to assume to know, from anything I ever said, or from anything they know of my life, whether I live the life of a nun, or whether I live as the exclusive wife of one man, or whether I am what the cry indicates. Mrs. Hooker and several other of my anxious female friends, who have had the opportunity to know most about my life, have on various occasions, taken the pains to assure the public that I am one of the most exclusive and monogamic of matrons. For my own part I have been perfectly willing that the world should think just the other way, if that same public choose to humbug itself into whatsoever preposterous idea—both to accustom the world to accept the idea of freedom for others, who might want a broader social sphere than I do, and also to give the world just this lesson—that it is none of its business (except for very special occasions) what my private life is, as it is none of my business (except for very special occasions) what the private life of anybody else is.

Do you not now begin to understand, that whosoever believes in the better policy, for society, of leaving the love affairs of the community to regulate themselves, instead of trusting to legislation to regulate them, is a free lover; and that being a free lover no more determines that one is low or promiscuous in one's habits, than believing that people shall have the right to choose their own food, determines that the person who believes so, has the personal habit of living on rotten meat or bad eggs.

But, I think I hear you say: "Well, possibly we might agree with you, that the mere abstract doctrine of free love is all right, at least for some millennial order of society in the future; and we might accept Mr. Beecher and forgive him, if he has really been forced by his convictions to this conclusion. But you go immensely beyond this, and tell the world that Mr. Beecher not only believes it as a doctrine, but that he lives it promiscuously or, at least, outside of his own marriage relation; and, then, that he has been secret and hypocritical in doing so.

Well, as to the first of these points, if we have really agreed that freedom is the true doctrine, then that means that it is none of your business, and none of mine how he lives or with whom; any more than it is what he eats or when he sleeps; except (exceptionally), for the moment, as an example, for teaching you and me this very lesson, new and strange in our several ideas, it is true, that it is none of our business. Undoubtedly, I have a general interest that you and all others should eat healthy and good food, but you have a far more special and direct interest in the matter, and it would be sheer impertinence for me to interfere, even if you should insist on eating very bad food.

Our old habits, under the marriage regime, now happily coming to an end, have made us all intolerably impertinent; until our social order is an impacted conspiracy of mutual spies and informers, so dense, so tyrannous, so awful, that if it were political, no civilized community world or could endure it; and such that the socially enfranchised communities of the future will look back upon it, with the same horror with which we regard the atrocious despotisms of Comodius or Caligula. Mrs. Grundy has a despotism, a million times more overwhelming and degrading, over the entire populations of England and New England, and generally, of all other countries, than ever any Nero or Tarquin had over Rome. Like slavery, her's is a despotism, which reaches every homestead, and is all pervading, and utterly terrific, to all but the stoutest hearted heroes and heroines; and religion, which seldom establishes anything, but only consecrates what is, has consecrated this severe despotism. Better, a thousand times say, with nonchalance, like Cain, "Am I my brother's keeper?" than to belong to this hellish conspiracy to keep our brothers and sisters, so hugged by the iron arms of false morality and custom, that the life and spontaniety is all pressed out of them. Anybody, with half an ear, who knew the meaning of logic, who has listened to Mr. Beecher's stirring sermons on individuality, interspersed along through the last twenty years, would have heard

in them the whisperings of social emancipation.

If, therefore, Mr. Beecher, in being true to the new doctrine of freedom, has been infidel and false to the old, that is none our business, except to rejoice, if it come incidently to knowledge; and if he has on any grounds been unwise, he the one to learn the fact, and to improve in the use of freedom, by availing himself of the privileges which it alone confers, to improve. And if we had any business to know, yet, how could we know? Solomon says, "The heart of man knoweth its own bitterness." Are any of us competent to tell what domestic sufferings have been endured by the man and the woman in the two involved households; or by the men and the women in any households; and what consolations must come, or the heart must break! I know nothing of promiscuity by Mr. Beecher. I suppose variety is not necessarily promiscuity, any more upon the social keyboard, than it is upon the keyboard of the piano and every soul must find for itself, the harmony of its own

As to the second point: that I have charged Mr. Beecher, and that the facts charge him with hypocrisy and concealment. I have, it is true, complained of him, in behalf of truth and freedom, that he has hid, in some measure, his light under a bushel; but that, I think, is far more your fault and the fault of his congregation, the fault of the world; in fine, of the social despotism I have talked of, then it is his fault individually. It is part of what his brother, Rev. Edward Beecher, once so appropriately named "The Organic Sin." It will be time for us to insist, therefore, that he repent when we remove from him the repressive force of our own past bigotries and prejudices. It is our constant assumption that our great teachers, in the pulpit and elsewhere, have truths of which we are ignorant. How much do we know of how terribly we are all the time tempting them, compelling them even, to resort to hypocrisy and concealment by our unreadinesss to hear the truth.

But let me ask this question: Would Mr. Beecher's congregation have tolerated him in preaching the doctrine, or doing the acts? If not, and if the doctrine and the acts are both right for him to accept and act, then they do not stand in any place to reproach him. "Let them first cast the beam out of their own eye," and so of the great repressive public. Mr. Beecher, would, I assure you, feel himself the most emancipated dominie that ever stood in a pulpit, if his congregation and the public were simply prepared to permit him to preach to them this very sermon; for the preaching of which, because he is not emancipated, I am called upon to fill his place. Every member of his church and congregation will read this lay sermon, when it is printed and circulated among them, and they may take every word of it for what he would most gladly say to them, if their prejudicies did not forbid him to tell them the whole truth. But on the whole I have no quarrel whatever, with either

the preacher or his congregation. The deportment of both, under the exposure which I felt called upon to make, of the doctrine and the life of the pastor, has been magnificent and unprecedented, whether we regard it as a manifestation of convictions, or merely as a splendid instance of pure strategy. statement which I made dealt too much in detail, involved too large a number of distinguished individuals; great writers and speakers, competent to answer for themselves, touched upon circumstances too well known, by too large a number of persons, showed too intimate an acquaintance with both the public and private aspects of the subject, and was, in every respect, too convincing of its truth upon the bare face of it, to be met successfully, in any other way, than by that perfect silence and masterly inactivity which have characterized the church, congregation, pastor and all the individuals without a single exception.

The public has stood aghast, with anxious expectation, for some denial from some quarter, of the truth of these allegations; but days passed into weeks and weeks rolled into months, and not a word of denial, nor even of explanation had been volunteered from any source; until the conviction has now gradually settled down upon the public mind, that there is no answer or explanation which can possibly be made. The whole case has been suffered to go by default. The admission has virtually been made; or, in any event, has been assumed by the people to have been made, that what I stated, and all that I stated was a gimple payretive of the truth, which both poster and converge simple narrative of the truth, which both pastor and congregation, have been compelled, in this tacit wa

The scene which these people have enacted, during these weeks and months, has been one of the most lofty and magnanimous which any people on earth, ever manifested toward a great leader of opinion. The language and the deportment of Plymouth Church and congregation, toward the pastor of Plymouth Church, was and is, not that of disbelief in the statements made—the probability, the almost certainty, of which many of them in private admit; but it has been the sublime faith of true devo-tees to their great leader, that to whatever point his convictions may have led him—whatsoever the course of life he may have conscienciously adopted, that they, too, believed in it to the extent of justifying and standing by him, through all the vicissitudes of fate, which may result, from his own fidelity to his own convictions.

They have said to him almost unanimously: Whether these allegations be true or not, we do not feel bound even to inquire; whether they be true or not, we believe in you, Henry Ward Beecher; we accept you as our teacher, and you as our instructor, in any new and higher truth; and if there be any truth, which you have felt bound to withhold from us, and yet, which you have not felt required to forego in your own life, we wait in confidence—we abide in faith, until the circumstances, and the growth in public opinion, shall enable us to come to a better understanding of that which you have already learned.

[Continued on page 14.]

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

One	copy for one ye	ar,		e e b	\$3 00	
One	copy for six mo	nths, -			1 50	
Singl	e copies,			- 4 6	10	
	FORI	EIGN SUB	SCRIPTIO	N		
CAN BE MA	DE TO THE AGE	NCY OF THE	AMERICAN	NEWS COM	PANY, LON-	
		DON, ENGI				
One co	py for one year,				\$4 00	

One copy for six months. . . RATES OF ADVERTISING.

Per line (according to location), - - From \$1 00 to \$2 50 Time, column and page advertisements by special contract. Special place in advertising columns cannot be permanently given. Advertiser's bills will be collected from the office of the paper, must in all cases, bear the signature of Woodhull & Claflin.

Specimen copies sent free. Newsdealers supplied by the American News Company, No. 121 Nassau treet, New York

All communications, business or editorial, must be addressed

Woodhull & Claffin's Weekly, 48 Broad Street, New York City



NEW YORK, SATURDAY, JANUARY 25, 1872.

TO THE PRESS.

The course the Government has pursued to suppress the WEEKLY, and thereby to establish a precedent which, followed, may extend to any recalcitrant journal, having been most disastrous to us financially, we ask both friends and foes to extend us the journalistic courtesy to insert the following in the several papers under their control:

Woodhull & Claflin's Weekly, an Independent Journal open to the absolutely free discussion of all subjects in which human welfare is involved, and which is especially the organ of social reform, is published by Victoria C. Woodhull and Tennie C. Claffin (Woodhull & Claffin), at No. 48 Broad street, New York, upon the following terms:

One copy one year, -	-	-	-	\$3 00
Five copies one year,		 ça .	-	12 00
Ten copies one year, -		•	-	22 00
Twenty copies one year, -			-	40 00
Six month, half these rates.				

OUR NEXT ISSUE.

The next WEEKLY will be one of the most extraordinary and interesting numbers ever issued. It will contain our examination upon the charge of sending obscene literature through the mails, upon which Mr. Comstock, to get himself out of the first bad scrape which he put up for us, but which was really upon himself, and specially to stop our lecture at Cooper Institute, has again had us arrested and cast into Ludlow. It will give a detailed statement not only of his (Comstock's) different renditions of obscenity upon the same language which is found in the Weekly and the Bible, but also how we managed to deliver our lecture in Cooper Institute, in spite of Comstock and his half-dozen U. S. Marshals, who guarded the entrances to Cooper Institute. Beside this, there will be a rigid cross-examination (the cause for which came too late for this issue) of Mr. Tilton, who is endeavoring to make it appear that Henry C. Bowen is our authority for many of the facts stated in the Beecher article, and also a clear statement from his own pen, of his position upon the social question. We wish to state now and distinctly, that the time for evasion, either by direction or indirection, is gone by, and if he have "thunderbolts within his breast," it is better that he discharge them at once and relieve it, than to let the "lion" quietly repose therein, since the community are anxious to, and will, know the hearts that are to be their objective points. For further light see editorial. "Beecher, Tilton, Bowen," in another column.

HENRY C. BOWEN.

Our old friend, Henry C. Bowen, has got into trouble. The Brooklyn Eagle has gone for him, and says all sorts of unkindnesses and uncharitablenesses. The lack of Christian charity is probably hurtful to Mr. Bowen's fine sympathies, for it is reported that he sues the Eagle for the libel, and also the New York Tribune for its damnable iteration. "A mad world, my masters," in which even the saints and the elect are not safe from the hand of the spoiler and the tongue of the defamer. We are sorry, very sorry, for Henry C. Bowen. We know how it is ourselves. The only crumb of comfort we can draw from it is, that he is said to row in the same boat with us. We would rather have chosen our traveling companion: we think we have read in "Pilgrim's Progress" that companionship makes a hard path easy. H. C. Bowen knows that book, and its delineations of Christian charities and worldly propensities. How curious it would be if the Eagle and the Tribune and H. C. Bowen should turn out our best friends, and justify us in the "inner life" of the Plymouth conventicle, with its Beecher-Bowen purities and perfections.

It has generally been supposed that our last issue had been regularly and legally suppressed. This is a mistake. We said find no proceedings to that end; and yet our papers were seized wherever they were found, our office and residence searched and many private papers carried off. This being the case, there will be a new edition of that paper issued, and it will be for sale at our office, No. 48 Broad street, containing the Beecher-Tilton Scandal entire, revised so as to exclude the Biblical language which constitutes the alleged libel as well as the alleged obscenity, but containing the Beecher-Tilton Scandal entire. Newsdealers in the country and all other orders supplied at the rate of \$10 per hundred fections. We know how it is ourselves. The only crumb of comfort

TO OUR SUBSCRIBERS AND THE FRIENDS OF FREEDOM, OF FREE SPEECH AND A FREE PRESS, GREETING!

Notwithstanding the immense correspondence that we received during the interval of the supension of the WEEKLY, making earnest inquiry as to its fate, we were not prepared for the great rejoicing that followed the appearance of No. 111 of November 2. That number was forwarded to our subscribers nearly ten days before our arrest, and we were in daily receipt, up to the time of our arrest, of the most convincing proofs of the hold the Weekly had acquired upon the hearts of the people. Everywhere it was the same feeling exhibited of the deepest joy that the only free paper the world had ever produced was not dead—had been only sleeping.

Immediately following our arrest, however, the false and malicious reports that went out to the country through the city press and the telegraphic dispatches conveyed the impression to the whole country that the Weekly was effectually throttled, and we, virtually dead. The tide of pecuniary support that had flowed in to it was suddenly stopped. Our friends did not know what to do. Hundreds applied to us by letter, asking, "What can we do for you?" but to these we could not reply individually. Besides, we were trusting that every day would find us in condition to send out another issue of the Weekly, in which our friends could be fully informed of all that had happened to us, and also to tell them in what way to help to continue the fight.

In No. 112, dated December 28, we presented the case as fully as possible; but this issue was detained in the Postoffice, held several days under some pretext, so that it did not really leave the city until after New Year's. Consequently it has been only within the last few days that our friends have come to know really what had occurred, and what it was proposed to do with the WEEKLY. We repeat, we had been overwhelmed with the effects of the reception of No. 111: but when the people came to know that the superaction of the officials, in their ignorant interpretation of the statute regarding obscenity, had not actually and finally disposed of us and the WEEKLY, the enthusiasm was unbounded Even letters seemed to bring tears of joy in their folds; and we could almost feel the great heart-throbs, pulsating for us all over the land, when we read the living language of love and esteem expressed in them all. Had we suffered a hundred times more than we did suffer; had we been ten times more than we were, the victims of a cruel persecution, all this, and a great deal more, would have been repaid a thousand fold, by the knowledge that came to us that thousands of noble, sympathetic souls, all over the world, were feeling for and suffering with us in every indignity that was heaped upon us by the bigoted and fanatical religionists in their efforts to save themselves from the coming destruction, whose awful chasm they see plainly yawns to engulf them in the onward course of the WEEKLY.

The very efforts of these people have, therefore, only made their cause so much the worse by adding impetus to our own. From all quarters the information already comes: 'That hundreds who, six months ago, would not permit the WEEKLY to enter their houses are now eagerly inquiring about it." All the indications, up to this time, seem to prophecy that "the increase is to be large and generally diffused.

But while we thus confidently communicate the promising conditions to our anxious friends, we hope that not one of them will see in them any reason to relax his efforts; but rather, every possible argument to increase them; we repeat all we said in the last number, with all the emphasis we can command, and to our then expressed desire, request and advise, as to the course that should be pursued, we now add the further request, for any person who can do so, to send us his or her subscription for two, three, five, or TEN years.

It is now a settled fact, that the Weekly will live, because the freedom-loving people of the country cannot do without it. All that is demanded to secure this, is, that our friends stand by us through the next two or three months. which will be the extent of our period of weakness, and land us safely upon a prosperous and useful course.

Remember, that, so long as we had the necessary means to carry the Weekly in its youth, we never appealed to our saying to all, that, with a little present help, it will never again be necessary to make another special appeal.

Then let us hear from one and all, AT ONCE, let every person who feels that the WEEKLY is either a national necessity, or a personal comfort and educator constitute him or sity, or a personal comfort and educator constitute him or herself into a committee of one to solicit aid for it, and to get up a club, remembering that for every dollar received, the Weekly will return tenfold in a more precious wealth—peace, light and LIFE.

THE SUPPRESSED PAPER.

It has generally been supposed that our last issue had been l copies.

HENRY WARD BEECHER.

There has been a great deal written and said in comment apon the course pursued by Mr. Beecher since my indictment against him of unfaithfulness to the new social truths, which, with me, he holds, but which, so far, he has failed to publicly proclaim. I am sorry, although not disappointed, that the view which, perhaps, the majority of the people take of the indictment, is entirely different from what I desired they should take; yet to sustain the indictment of disloyalty to truth, I was necessarily compelled to resort to the course that has raised quite another question than the one which I aimed to present against Mr. Beecher.

As an individual, it is simply none of my business what Mr. Beecher's private life is, or has been, anymore than it is what his religion or politics is; but it would become the business of brother politicians if he, as one of them, should profess to being opposed to slavery, and should still uphold the institution, and devote all his vast power to further its interests, and to hold his fellows in bondage. It is precisely this position in which Mr. Beecher stands, not politically, but socially, and, as an advocate of the new social order in which both of us believe, it becomes not only my business as to what use Mr. Beecher makes of his vast social influence, but the interests of future generations also hang on the balance.

Many critics say I have struck my warm friends an unwarrantable blow. I deny the charge. I had no such intention; was actuated by no such motives as the charge suggests. I hold if Social Freedom belongs to humanity that I have done both it and them the greatest good that lay in my power. I felt it a duty, and I did it fearlessly, and I am willing to bear all the present odium that comes from an imperfect understanding of social science, resting my justification with those who shall come after me, and enjoy the benefits that must flow from the almost fearful agitation that I have premeditatedly aroused.

I am also sorry that Mr. Beeeher has made so bad use of the opportunity I presented him; especially since his congregation and friends have seemed to be in close alliance with my movement. Although I assure my friends, and his friends, that there was no preceding collusion on my part with any one in any way connected with Mr. Beecher, I must still admit that if there had been, nothing more to my desire could have been agreed upon than they have actually carried out. Mr. Beecher is justified of them. No one assumes to question his right to his own life any more than did Jesus assume to condemn the woman brought to him, taken in adultery. Mr. Beecher has, I must confess, labored, with the most happy results, to thus raise an entire and a large congregation from the plane of those who stood ready to condemn the woman to that upon which the lovely Nazarene stood in regard to them.

But Mr. Beecher has as yet utterly failed to make a great and wise use of the occasion presented him, for which his own teachings had prepared his friends, and upon which I compelled him to a choice; and in this failure he would seem to have justified my fears, that moral courage is a less prominent feature of his character than is the capacity to perceive new and grand truths. Forced into the position as he was, there was but one course for him to pursue that was certain to ultimate in good! to himself; though humanity may be equally benefited by whatsoever course. Had he been as wise as I hoped he would be, but which he would not permit me to advise him to be, he would have said at once: To all of Mrs. Woodhull's indictments I plead guilty. I have not broken the bread of life to my flock in the same measure I have received it. I have failed to do this, not on my own account, but from the fear that you, my friends and scholars—your mental stomachs were not yet ready to digest its strong aliment. I find, however, that I was somewhat mistaken in my estimate of your capacities. Indeed I ought to have judged you better, since I am so well acquainted with so many of you, and know that, equally with me, you have accepted and lived, as I have, the truths of the new dispensation. But im extenuation I would plead that I feared to divide my flock, and while I knew, or ought to have known, that menny of you would thrive better on the new and vigorous rnanna than you have on the stale bread I have administered, I felt that friends in its behalf; and we feel perfectly secure now, in you could live from the latter until all should be brought to require a change to the former. I hope you, whom I have deprived of what they desired of me, equally with those to whom I have denied the good I had for thern, will forgive me for this, my error of judgment, which I assure you, was entirely of the head, and entirely at variance from the promptings of my heart.

But Mr. Beecher has not yet missed the opportunity of becoming the Apostle of the New Dispensation, and I am very glad; for it can have a no more able one than he may yet become. In the indictment I spoke quite confidently as to what his course would be. Knowing, as I did, the good understanding that existed between bim and many of the most prominent members, male and female, of his church, I could not for a moment imagine he would adhere to so suicidal a course as that he has so far adopted, and entirely ignore an opportunity such as will never again present itself to him.

This opportunity may, however, be improved by Mr. Beecher, and he thus become the hero of the new social order; but his danger lies in this: every day he delays making a definite movement in this direction-in a word, every day that he fails to publicly take the new position that he must

soon take or become disqualified for it, the opportunity ting Fanuel Hall against him for a whole month. Neverthewhich, of right, belongs first to him, is liable to be seized by another, who, almost equally with him, is well situated, though less fully imbued with the principles of individual freedom, and not so well calculated to administer the tremendous responsibilities of the position. Who this is that is is equally as evident as it is evident that Mr. Beecher ought not to have so long, as he already has, neglected his opportunity—the grandest that ever fell to the lot of man.

That Mr. Beecher is not entirely unmindful of what he has lost by not coming immediately to the front, appears from his recent teachings. What he should have taken at a single leap he is endeavoring to reach by another and a circuitous course. In an indirect, though palpable way, he seeks to justify himself by falling back on Christ, and quoting him on the facts of the issue, direct, while evidently wishing his hearers to think it was done without premeditation. At the regular Friday evening meeting, of the 27th ultimo, in concluding his remarks, and, as though entirely by accident he used the following significant words, the application of which is altogether too apparent to pass as unintentional on his part. He said: "He was in the habit of projecting Christ into heaven as he had been upon earth, full of tenderness and sympathy, and love. Look how Jesus lived with Mary and Martha. How familiar he was. He was not a stranger. Mary loved Christ, and he permitted her to do so. Everything showed that he was on singularly familiar terms with the sisters. If Christ was so familiar and loving with his friends on earth, He would be more so in heaven."

My readers will remember a series of poems published in the WEEKLY, entitled, "Amours Divines; or, Love-Scenes on the Orient," and how terribly I was scathed by the clergy and the press for blaspheming Christ. The poems said nothing more than Mr. Beecher said in these words. Indeed, the insinuation contained in his language was entirely lacking in the poems. Will the press howl at Mr. Beecher for this too apparent imputation of a love on the part of Jesus for the sisters that he did not feel for all other men and women? If not, then indeed can I congratulate it for having made an advance in the direction of common sense. But I think a more frank and direct method than this one of Mr. Beecher's would more become his dignity and manhood, since he evidently meant to say: May not I do what Jesus did-love the Marys and Marthas of this age as he loved the Marys and Marthas of his age—and like him stand uncondemned? I say, Amen!

VICTORIA C. WOODHULL.

THE DISGRACE OF BOSTON.

Again has the hypocritical puritanism of Boston overreached itself, and added another instance to its role of infamies. Doubtless our readers will be as confounded at what we are about to say as we were by having the opportunity given us to say it; but we confess we never did a more distasteful task than we shall do in writing down this disgrace upon the Modern Athens. Those persons who were instrumental in perpetrating this disgrace doubtless think they have disgraced us; but in history it will not so appear. The names of Roger Williams, Ann Hutchinson, William Lloyd Garrison, Wendell Phillips, William Ellery Channing, Caleb Cushing and Daniel Webster-for details of which, see article in another column—were not disgraced by the outrages heaped upon them by the people and authorities of Boston; but their cases stand a never-dying reproach against that city, which nothing can ever wash away; while we can well afford to have our names stand in the list with these grand men, as having suffered in a similar manner to them at the hands of the same people.

We have spoken in Boston upon several different occasions, and always received the approval of our audiences, which were from the best people of the city and suburban towns. At a recent convention held there, the city papers claimed we made an indecent speech, because we recited somewhat of the facts in the Beecher case, which were given at length in the issue of November 2. Against the opinion of the rage at the hands of their representatives. Even the press Boston press we oppose that of one of the most talented of that city seemed to be totally unconscious of the volcano ladies of the South, Mrs. E. A. Merriweather, as given in the upon the brink of which they are fast asleep. One paper of obscenity from the relation of facts, when the facts themselves are of that character; and it is just here where all our present persecutors have fallen into error. We are engaged in a social revolution which compels us to take hold of such facts as we find existing in the community. If they are obscene, indecent or vulgar, it ought not to be laid to our charge, but we ought the rather to merit the sympathy of the people because we are compelled to deal with them in our tasks. Therefore we hold that whatever the press may have said of our recent John A. Andrew Hall speech, it could be made no justifiable pretense for preventing us from again speaking in the city. But the excuse that was made will appear as we relate the circumstances.

On the 14th ultimo we dispatched our agent, William F. Phillips, Esq., to Boston to engage Music Hall, and to advertise for a lecture in the same. The hall was engaged for Monday evening the 23d, and the same was duly and extensively advertised. Never for a moment did it occur either to us or to are everywhere and at all times present, but related to differany of our friends that the present authorities would repeat ent and higher broader and deeper questions. Those of tothe outrage upon free speech that Moses Kimball, when day are upon the most fundamental and vital that ever ex-Mayor of Boston, perpetrated upon Daniel Webster in shut- isted-individual freedom, and will be administered with a it that they, as prominent members of Plymouth Church,

less they did it.

Late on Thursday, before the lecture was to be given, Mr. Phillips was informed that on no account would we be permitted to speak in Music Hall, although at that time there had been a large number of tickets sold and seats secured. Mr. Beecher's rival for the future leadership of social reform Remonstrance was made, and the contract for the hall advanced; but all to no purpose. "Let what might come, it would make no difference, Music Hall simply would be closed against us."

> It soon appeared that the trustees of Music Hall Association, stimulated by the Beecher influence, had been hastily called together and had decided upon this course—to prevent, if possible, our speaking in Boston. They totally ignored the agreement of Mr. Peck, the agent having the hall in charge, which was a high-handed outrage upon that gentlemen, since it placed him in the position of making a bargain that he was competent to make, but which he could not carry out. An honorable course, gentlemen trustees! We trust you will fully realize it some day, when your passions shall have ebbed, and your idol shall have fallen. If you have never before done a deed of which you will be ashamed, when the history of the present shall be written, this one will certainly make you blush for your lack of man-

That it may not appear that we overstate the case, and that it may appear that we properly place the source of the influence that brought about the result, we shall state the very language used by several prominent persons. On Friday, Governor Claffin appeared in the office of Music Hall and vented his spleen to Mr. Peck, and in the presence of Mr. Phillips, in the following chaste and elegant lan-

"We have bad women enough in Boston now, without permitting this one to come here to further demoralize us. Why, she might repeat the vile stories about Mr. Beecher, or even attack some of us in Boston. No, sir! This cannot be permitted. This prostitute shall not disgrace this hall or insult this city by speaking in it. She is no better than a panel thief or a common street-walker, and I will see that she don't open her vile mouth in the city, which was so recently honored by Mr. Beecher's presence.'

Another gallant, immaculate champion of the Plymouth Church Pastor, Mr. James Redpath, also came to the rescue, as follows: "What, allow this harlot to insult Mr. Beecher by standing on the same platform where he stood only a few nights since! Oh, no; not in Boston! We have too much respect for ourselves, and too much regard for Mr. Beecher, to permit any such outrage."

Nothing daunted by the positive refusal of Music Hall, Mr. Phillips determined that free speech should not be entirely crushed out by the Music Hall clique-friends of Mr. Beecher—and engaged the St. James Theatre. But this required a license, which was utterly refused. Upon this, special application was made to the Board of Aldermen; but they absolutely refused to grant it, saying they did not care, whether right or wrong this woman should not speak in Boston, and ordered the Chief of Police to be instructed to arrest her if she dared to attempt to speak anywhere.

After our arrival in Boston and counseling with Judge Cowley about the matter, the authorities were called upon and remonstrated with. The lecture which was to be delivered was fortunately in print and was shown them; but it made no difference. They would listen to nothing. They had Chief of Police personally informed us that, though he was sorry to say it, he had orders to arrest us if we should appear upon any platform in Boston, and that he should be obliged to execute them if we persisted.

Chelsea were offered us; but we determined to bide our done, that they will rise and demand that the first and greatest principle of American liberty shall no longer suffer outlast number of the Weekly, and are willing to rest our case alone of the great number there published was willing to time of arbitration, should have refused to pay to Mr. Tilton with our readers upon it. We are perfectly well aware that open its columns to give the people our statement of the what was justly his due, and why he afterward paid it it may be difficult for prejudiced people to separate the idea case. Are we indeed verging into despotism that the press can be muzzled into silence over two weak women whom the long-faced hypocrites are endeavoring to crush? It may be Bowen and refused by Mr. Tilton? In short, was there not a thought that others are not threatened when we are assailed, but they do not even think what is invited by their apparent acquiscence in what has been done. One outrage paves the way to others, and worse ones; and thus they progress until they culminate in revolution.

Do our readers begin to realize what a ferment the Scribes, the Pharisees and the Hypocrites are in over the progress of the social revolution? Every one of them fears that his mask may be the next to fall. What else can it be that moves them to all their desperate deeds, their continuous outrages upon the fundamental principles of liberty? Do they forget the teachings of their professed Master: that if these things be "of the devil," they will come to nought? different from what it is now. The same divisions and spirit

vindictive bitterness equal to the magnitude of the interests

We were not prepared for the Boston outrage. We knew of no reason why we should not speak where we had already spoken to enthusiastic audiences several times before, and where we had every reason to believe a very lurge number of people desired to hear us again, upon the great question of Free Press, which was the subject of the lecture. It was meet, however, that the action of the United States authorities in New York-bowing in abject servility to the power of Plymouth Church, for the suppression of a Free Press-should be supplemented by the authorities of Boston, in obedience to the same mandate regarding Free Speech. Oh Shame! where is thy blush, that these things can be perpetrated with impunity in this age and country! Have the people forgotten that they are American citizens, and that they who have been called to execute the laws, have become their masters? Are they really ready to acknowledge themselves slaves to the powers they have created? Indeed it is time that some thunder-clap should come to rouse them to a sense of their condition, else the enemy may, unresisted, bind them hand and foot and render them utterly defenceless. For ourselves, indignant and sick at heart over the continued brutality to which we are subjected, we returned to New York, to permit the people of Boston to think awhile over their action. But we shall speak in Boston, with a license, if it can be had-without one, if the authorities still, in defiance of the Constitution of the United States, shall continue to refuse it. Therefore, Governor Claffin, Mr. Tourjee, James Redpath, Mr. Fairbanks, and the Chief of Police, need not set it down that they have succeeded in driving us from the Boston rostrum. And when we shall stand upon it, we shall not hesitate to speak in plain terms of their despotic, disgraceful and disloyal conduct; and rest assued the people of Boston will sustain us.

BEECHER, TILTON, BOWEN.

Very few persons have any adequate idea of the immense interests that are dependent upon the maintenance, at least to outward semblance, of the peace among this trinity; but impending events justify us in calling the public attention to the possibilities of an open rupture. There are a few prominent interior facts behind the fair external seeming, now presented by this trio, which it may not be amis to bring forward, since they have an important bearing upon what is about to transpire, and since they form the basis upon which a rotten structure has stood and seemed sound.

A few persons only know the real causes that led to the dismissal of Theodore Tilton from the editorship of the Independent; and we say dismissal, advisedly, in the face of the mutual admiration expressed at the time by the parties to it. And right here we cannot refrain from mentioning the fact that, wherever a fatal feud is concealed for the sake of reputation, beneath a fair exterior, the ultimate results are always more disastrous than if concealment were never at-

Mr. Tilton was for a long time the responsible editor of the Independent, and it is well known that it was his bold and brilliant articles that won for that paper the immense influence which at one time it had. It is also well known that the patrons of that paper were thunder-struck when Mr. Tilton left it; they were at a loss to even surmise any sufflcient cause for such a movement, since it must have been made up their minds and would not be moved, and the a fearful one that could justify it to Mr. Bowen, which he has since learned to his cost.

It is not, however, so generally known that, after his resignation of the editor's chair, he was retained to write leading articles at a large salary; or that, at an equally large It becoming known that we should not be permitted to salary and to share in its profits, he was engaged to conduct speak in Boston, halls in Cambridge, Charlestown and the Brooklyn Union, also belonging to Mr. Bowen; and by a still less number of individuals is it known what brought this time, being satisfied when the good sense of the people of arrangement to a sudden close, which culminated in a suit-Boston shall become aroused to what the authorities have at law against Mr. Bowen, that was finally arbitrated by several prominent members of Plymouth Church, acting in the interests of Messrs. Beecher & Bowen, to break the sword of justice, manufactured by Mr. Bowen, but held by Mr. Tilton.

> It is not immaterial to inquire why Mr. Bowen, up to the with so much alacrity. Was there a consideration other than damage for breach of contract previously demanded by Mr. significant letter, written by Mr. Bowen from Woodstock, Vermont, to Mr. Tilton, damning to Mr. Beecher, which was the real bone of contention, and which the arbitration decided Mr. Tilton should pass to Mr. Bowen, upon receiving from him the payment of this demand? If this be so, what was that letter? Did it merely concern Mr. Bowen, and if so why were Mr. Beecher's friends called into the arbitration; and was personal and confidential arbitration necessary to settle a matter of which law could take no cognizance?

> That there was a letter is certain, and that the letter must have been important, is evident; but are the persons who composed the arbitration simple enough to believe that Mr. Tilton gave it up without keeping a certified copy? If so, they must indeed have a decidedly poor opinion of Mr. Tilton's wisdom, and a very exalted opinion of his verdancy.

> Again, was this letter read before the arbitration, and if so, and it was important as involving Mr. Beecher, how is

have made no movement to vindicate him, or to inquire into the case to determine if he were worthy to continue in its pastoral charge? These things are very significant when it is understood that Mr. Bowen was wont to say that he had! only "to open his batteries on Mr. Beecher, to drive him out of Brooklyn in twenty-four hours," and that since the settlement he has been one of his most ardent supporters and advisors, as witness his weeping on Mr. Beecher's neck at the recent silver wedding to Plymouth Church.

When we consider the various circumstances that envelope this case in present darkness, we cannot help exclaiming is it possible that all those members of Mr. Beecher's church, being cognizant of the real difficulty between Mr. Tilton and Mr. Bowen, conceal it, because they are involved in something of the same sort sufficiently well known to make suppression impossible if a "break" once begin? If this be so, then indeed is Brooklyn sleeping on a volcano; and it is no wonder that herculean efforts are being made by those aware of the danger to prevent an eruption that would arouse the sleepers to a comprehension of what had existed for so long a time under their very eyes, unheeded or un-

Violent convulsions always precede great changes, let them be in whatever department of nature, and great changes usually occur simultaneously in the several departments. Wherever we now look over the face of the earth, whether it be to the earth itself, or the elements themselves, there is confusion or spasmodic convulsions, fire, flood or tempest to its inhabitants as nations, to their intellectual, moral, industrial, or social capacities, there is the uncertainty that forbodes coming changes everywhere present, and the earnest efforts of iconoclastic revolutionists, urged by unseen forces, they scarcely know why or whence, precipitate them.

In the past history of the world there have been earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, islands submerged and thrown up; there have been tempests, hurricanes and electrical convulsions; there been war, pestilence, famine and flood there have been revolutions in religion, politics, scientific deduction and philosophic formula; but until now there has been nothing that could be called purely a revolution in social matters disconnected from other questions. In the relations of individuals to each other and to society, the right of sovereignty has gradually ascended without rapidity from the one supreme control to the very verge of perfect individual control; and it is here, on this very verge, that the enlightened portion of the world is now hesitating, half in fear and half in doubt, as to what may follow the leap, but nevertheless venturing, as individuals, to take it, when it can be done and a return made secretly: We reiterate that how anybody can be so dull—so behind the times. we believe "the conscious and well calculated interference of the spirit-world has forecast and prepared the very events" that have occurred and that are to occur in Plymouth Church, with which to usher in the grand and final social revolution which must precede complete individual freedom.

FLOATING LIES.

We have numerous inquiries regarding certain statements that are circulating through the press about the alleged denials of Mrs. Stanton and Davis of their involvement in the Beecher affair. We had not purposed noticing any of these unless the denials should be made publicly by themselves. But since they are now beginning to be made semi-officially by some of the interested parties, we feel we are justified in noticing them.

It is said that Mrs. Stanton has written a letter. Why, then, is it not published? It is sufficient for us to quote from the letter of "Justitia," in the Hartford Times, copied in our last number, to indicate what that letter may contain, which, in answering the query, says:

The papers have stated that Mrs. Stanton, on reading Mrs. Woodhull's story, denounced it as far as it related to herself. Perhaps she did deny something. But Mrs. S. is a public woman, and knows how to write; why have we not a card from her explaining the matter in full? I will tell you why, Mr. Editor: simply because Mrs. Stanton dare not impair her own reputation for veracity; for she has herself charged Mr. Beecher to parties residing in this city (Philadelphia) and known to me, the writer, and elsewhere, with very much the same offenses of which Mrs.

Whatever letters there may be purporting to have emanated from Paulina Wright Davis, denying her connection e take it upon ves to declare them to be forgeries. We know what Mrs. Davis's feelings upon this question was, and her short residence in Europe cannot have so changed them as to cause her to deprecate what has been done by us. Therefore we can say that we know there are no such letters as it is stated there are, in the papers.

To our friends we must again say, wait patiently! This is a great and grave issue that is upon the church, and everything in heaven and earth will be moved to prevent the further bursting of the bubble which we have so fearfully endangered. Even now are the props, that have so long held firm, giving way; and, if we mistake not, a new year will scarcely have progressed beyond a month before the earthquake will come that shall disrupt the entire church, and, stripping the masks from hypocrites, small and great, show the real causes that have so long upheld them in high places. The culmination of an organized hypocrisy of nearly two thousand years duration is near at hand. Do not be impatient if it do not come "in a day;" and do not revile the means necessary to precipitate it, since from its ashes, when it shall come, will arise a new and beautiful civilizaion, not merely Christian in name, but Christ-like in fact.

ABOUT OURSELVES.

Agreeably to many urgent requests we below shall re print extracts from letters and articles having personal reference to ourselves, with the view of showing what those who know us best have from time to time recorded. Under no other circumstances than such as exist at present could we have consented to do this; but some, who heretofore have spoken well of us, having claimed a change of views, we hereby invite them to explain the causes of such changes, to which we will gladly give space in the WEEKLY. We are confidences, in the Beecher Article; but, as we said in that article, we possessed no confidences except such as were forced for the very purpose for which they were used. Moreover, this is a great cause in which we were engaged, and required all the weight of testimony that was at our command; and though some of it involved those who would not have chosen to be known in the matter, and who, probably, now deprecate what has been done, still we have faith to believe that, in the not-distant future, they will voluntarily come to the front and eagerly engage in the (then to be) moving revolution, and fully justify our course. We are height of the highest. not aware that we are different from what we were when the extracts given below were written, except that we have written the Beecher Article. If it be that which has caused us to be held in less esteem than formerly, we can easily endure the censure, since we know the future will vindicate our course and regain the favor we have lost; but if other causes exist, we trust that they who have been so kindly disposed toward us in the past, and who have spoken to the public in such graceful and (to us) grateful terms, will now do that same public justice by showing to it our faults which they have discovered. This is a duty owed both to themselves and the public, and we hope, if any of the sentiments below set forth are now obsolete in the hearts that conceived them, that their writers will avail themselves of these columns to rectify their previous errors of judgment or to correct too hastily-formed opinions:

KANSAS CITY, Mo., February 4, 1871. BRAVO! MY DEAR WOODHULL: Your letter is here, and the telegram of the "Majority and Minority Reports." Glorious "old Ben!" He is surely going to pronounce the word that will settle the woman question, just as he did "Contraband," that so settled the negro question.

Everybody here chimes in with the new conclusion that we are already free. But how absolutely dead, dead, dead, are the Woman's Journal and Revolution; one would think them in a Rip Van Winkle sleep. It is beyond my comprehension

Mrs. Livermore, in her speech here, said: "Some able lawyers have said (not Victoria C. Woodhull had petioned, and Congress and the National Woman's Suffrage Committee had chimed in,) that the Fourteenth and Ffteeenth Amendments enfranchised women. She could afford to wait; but all of them are as 'dead as door nails' to the new and living gospel."

I have never in the whole twenty years felt so full of life and hope. I know now that Mr. Train's prophecy-nay, assertion-that women would vote for the next President, will be realized. I am sure that you and I will vote for somebody, if not for George Francis Train or Victoria C. Woodhull.

Go ahead! bright, glorious, young and strong spirit, and believe in the best love, hope and faith, of

S. B. ANTHONY.

PAULINA WRIGHT DAVIS.

The following letter was called forth by the attempt of a prominent member of the Boston Wing of Suffragists and of Sorosis to charge Mrs. Davis' European trip to the account of her desire to escape from the odium of her connection with us. She is justly rebuked:

351 WEST THIRTY-FOURTH STREET, CITY. MY DEAR VICTORIA: I have just learned that the amiable Mrs. Grundy has been busy conjuring up reasons for my going abroad, stating that I am driven away by the present position of our movement. Will you permit me to say, through your columns, that I go abroad for my own personal reasons; and may be absent three months, or may stay one or two years. In the meanwhile, believe me, I shall not relax my efforts for the enfranchisement of woman, or for her social and spiritual emancipation from bondage far worse than her political slavery. I need not urge you to increased effort. I am sure of your unflagging zeal—of your noble, generous, disinterested spirit. I want to feel that my heart is throbbing beside yours in full sympathy, love and hope, for you and our great work. Let me not feel or fear that because the ocean rolls between us, that I lose my place in the ranks of the real workers. Yours, for truth,

PAULINA WRIGHT DAVIS.

NEW YORK, June 10, 1871.

MY DEAR MRS. HOOKER: I have seen a few lines of a letter written by you to Mrs. Woodhull, full of the sympathy of your large heart. Accept my thanks for remaining the friend of a woman who, however the world may misjudge her, is one of the most sincere, true-hearted and heaven-seeking of human beings.

She has strange traits occasionally, indicating over-excitement of mind, and she gives undue credence to spirit influence; and, above all, she uses the term free-love in a sense wholly different from that in which it is understood by the world, and so is fearfully misconstrued. But I give my testimony to the fact that she is one of the most upright, truthful, religious and unsullied souls. I ever met.

Just at present, when everybody seems willing to treat her with bitterness, I thank you for standing, as I do, her unchanging friend

[From "A Legend of Good Women," in the "Golden Age." By Theodore Tilton.]

Victoria C. Woodhull is a younger heroine than most of the foregoing-having come into the cause after some of her elders had already become veterans. But her advocacy of woman's right to the ballot, as logically deduced from the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments, has given her a national notoriety. If the woman's movement has a Joan of Arc, it is this gentle but fiery genius. She is one of the most remarkable women of her time. Little understood by the public, she is denounced in the most outrageous manner by aware that many think we have made unwarrantable use of people who do not appreciate her moral worth. But her sincerity, her truthfulness, her uprightness, her true nobility of character, are so well known to those who know her well, that she ranks, in the estimation of these, somewhat as St. Theresa does in the admiring thoughts of pious Catholics. She is a devotee—a religious enthusiast—a seer of visions—a devout communionist with the other world. She acts under spiritual influence, and, like St. Paul, is "not disobedient to the heavenly vision." Her bold social theories have startled many good souls, but anybody who, on this account, imagines her to stand below the whitest and purest of her sex, will misplace a woman who in moral integrity rises to the full

WYOMING, June 21, 1871.

VICTORIA WOODHULL:

Dear Madam-To you, the last victim sacrificed on the altar of woman's suffrage, I send my first word from the land of

I left New York after our May conventions, sad and oppressed with the barbarism, falsehood and hypocrisy, of the press of our country, knowing that when liberty runs into icense, the reaction that must come is tyranny. The fearful scenes being enacted in Paris to-day should warn us who beieve in the great idea of self-government to rebuke every violation of individual rights. It may be a light thing for the ress of the country to hold up one frail little woman to public ridicule and denunciation, but this reckless hashing of individual reputations is destructive of all sense of justice and honor among our people, and will eventually force on as a censorship of the press. The grief I felt in the vile raking of your personal and family affairs was three-fold-sympathy for you, shame for the men who persecuted you, and the dangers I saw in the abuse of one of our greatest blessings-a free press.

Why did our editors all over the land dip their pens in gall to crush the one woman whom the Congress of the United States honored, for the first time in the history of our Government, with a hearing before the Judiciary Committee of the House and an able report on her memorial? Was it because they so loved purity and principle, and felt the cause of woman's suffrage too sacred to be advocated by any one not as pure and chaste as Diana? Nay, nay, but because they hated the principle of equality, and could not answer her able argument. These crafty ones see that this reform has passed the court of moral discussion, and is now fairly ushered into the arena of politics. Jeremy Bentham tells us it is an old dodge of the enemies of progress, that when they cannot answer the arguments of reformers, they try to blacken their characters, and thus turn public thought from principles to personalities.

It seems to me that some commentaries on your very able arguments on natural rights and constitutional law would be higher game for men supposed to be specially gifted with the power of reason and trained to logical deductions, and more in harmony with the tastes of educated gentlemen, than all this low gossiping about the blunders of your childhood and the sorrows of your maturer years.

Let not the women of the country be deceived by these hints of the press, lest woman's virtue be sacrificed; little care they for that, for the same pens that scarify Victoria Woodhull to-day, were more remorseless in their persecution of Harriet Beecher Stowe two years ago, when, by unvailing the social infamies of Lord Byron's life, she shook the civilized world. To a man the press of England and America rushed to his defense. No whinings then about social morals. or warnings to women to beware of the men of their own households, but flat denials of what, from common report. and Byron's own writings, all knew to be true; and stern rebukes of the woman who had thus shaken the confidence of her sex in their natural protectors.

"Ah!" cried these gentlemen of the press, "what monstrous sacrilege, thus to unvail the dead!'

Do dead men feel persecution or betrayal more than living

Is it harder for a man to bear the world's scorn and contumely in the solitude of a British sepulchre than for a woman while earning her living in the busy streets of our metropolis, with the scarlet letter forever beating on her bruised heart.

Hawthorne's sketch of that base, craven coward sitting high in the judgment seat, to pass sentence on the woman he had seduced, standing alone in the market-place, with the eyes of the multitude and the intense rays of a summer's sun burning into her very soul, is all realized in our midst every day.

When I think of all the world's baseness, selfishness and hypocrisy toward my sex through the long, long past, and the mountains of sorrow and shame they groan under, even in this Christian civilization, I sometime blush that one drop of my woman's blood has ever warmed the heart of any living

But you have not suffered in vain. You have made some grand points of assault on the old tyrant Custom.

In declaring that women are already citizens and pointing the short way to freedom, you have inspired the strongest of us with new hope and enthusiasm. In securing a hearing before the Judiciary Committee of Congress, and that able report of Butler and Loughridge, you have lifted the debate on woman's suffrage from the low ground of expediency, THEODORE TILTON. I where ordinary men insist on holding it, into the higher realm of constitutional law. You have attacked, too, the last stronghold of the enemy-the social subordination of

Miss Anthony and I have laughed over a letter of Warrington's in the Springfield Republican, describing the trepidation of our friends at the Hub, lest your friend Mrs. Hooker's presence should make them responsible for your social theories and your advent to the Woman's Rights platform. Why did they not pass a resolution against Congress for giving you a hearing and reporting on your memorial? If you are the questionable character they assume, your wiles would be far more dangerous among our representatives at the capital than in a convention of strong-minded women in

But you must pardon those sweet sisters, for most of their speakers on the occasion seem to have been new recruits who did not believe in woman's suffrage five years ago.

But their alarm is not more amusing than the prophetic vision of their chosen seer, in the Woman's Journal, V. W. H., who now thinks we may vote in sixty years. Does not the reverend gentleman know that all women are voting in Wyoming to-day, and some have voted in other States? That we have declared ourselves "citizens," and intend to maintain our rights at the ballot box and in the courts, and that unless Congress gives us a declaratory act securing us in all our inalienable rights we shall secede from this bogus republic, and set up a pure democracy of our own, assisted by all the just men who wish to enjoy the blessings of liberty and equality in government.

Verily, this New England prophet has more skill in the rhetorical turn of sentence than in reaching the signs of the times. From Cheyenne we go to Denver.

Respectfully yours.

ELIZABETH CADY STANTON.

NEW YORK, June 22, 1871. MY DEAR MR. SANBOURN: I take for granted that the remarks in the Republican concerning Mrs. Woodhull are yours. But you err in the estimate of the woman. She is a purist in morals-just the opposite of what you imagine her to be. I I know her well-very well. Her character, I believe (and I cheerfully testify), is spotless. Her social views are not those of Stephen Pearl Andrews, but of John Stuart Mill. Except for her mistaken use of the term "Free Love"—a term which she employs in a wholly different seuse from that which it bears when you read it in the writings of Mr. Andrews-I believe her social theories would not differ at all from your

I take pains to write you this line because Mrs. Woodhull is a woman of singular moral excellence—a model of truthfulness, sincerity and uprightness. Her unfortunate reputation is due wholly to an infelicitous use of words. Persons who know her well hold her in uncommon respect. Never have I met, whether among women or men, a character of greater simplicity or goodness than hers. Excuse my boldness, and believe me, fraternally yours, THEO. TILTON.

FROM MRS. DAVIS.

PROVIDENCE, August 26, 1871.

MY DEAR VICTORIA: Despite the Tribune's idea of my idleness and ennui I find every hour so filled with duties that friendly letters are often deferred, not wholly neglected, for I usually bring up at the last moment. This must be my exeuse for not having sooner congratulated you upon your nomination by the VICTORIA LEAGUE to the Presidency. But I have not been either idle or mindful of our, your interests, for in the one I consider the other bound up.

From the time when I picked up your paper with your name at the head as the future President of the U.S. A., and and read your pronunciamento, I have never named any other candidate for President.

That step at once proved you fearless, self-sacrificing and strong in the right. Your platform of a just government I regard as a most able state paper, one that will bear a favorable comparison with any which has been put forth for years; and I am proud that it is bound up with the history of the first twenty years' work for human freedom. It is a most excellent beginning of the history of the next decade.

The meeting held in Apollo Hall, though seemingly so near a failure, has certainly not been without its results. there had been no other, the issuing that one document (though not indorsed, as it should have been, by the meeting(would have been worth the time; but the great social question receives an impetus that it will not soon lose in its onward progress. I believe people begin to see that suffrage will not give woman social equality any more than it gives it to the negro now; it is but a stepping-stone toward the greater. The black man votes but ask not still feel the ban of public sentiment against his tinted skin, and he will answer yes; and sex will still be the word to stifle woman's aspirations for a larger life, even though she may vote for

Though as a scientist I regard the social questions as of the greater importance, I am none the less ready to accept your nomination; and though I may be on the other side of the globe, I shall come home to vote for you in 1872; and every woman will be recreant to duty who fails in standing firmly in this crisis by your side, strengthening, encouraging and aiding in all and every possible way.

Yours ever truly, PAULINA W. DAVIS.

FROM MR. TILTON'S BIOGRAPHY OF MRS. WOODHULL. "He that uttereth a slander is a fool."

-Solomon: Prov. x. 18.

I shall swiftly sketch the life of Victoria Classin Woodhull; a young woman whose career has been as singular as any heroine's in a romance; whose ability is of a rare and whose career of the rarest type; whose personal sufferings are of themselves a whole drama of pathos; whose name (through the malice of some and the ignorance of others) has caught a

whose position as a representative of her sex in the greatest reform of modern times renders her an object of peculiar interest to her fellow citizens; and whose character (inasmuch as I know her well) I can portray without color or tinge from any other partiality save that I hold her in uncommon respect.

In speaking of her former husband, he said: To be now turned out of doors by the woman he wronged, but who would not wrong him in return, would be an act of inhumanity which it would be impossible for Mrs. Woodhull and Col. Blood either jointly or separately to commit. For this piece of noble conduct—what is commonly called her living with two husbands under one roof—she has received not so much censure on earth as I think she will receive reward in heaven. No other passage of her life more signally illustrates the nobility of her moral judgments, or the supernal courage with which she stands by her convictions. Not all the clamorous tongues in Christendom, though they should simultaneously cry out against her "Fle, for shame!" could persuade her to turn this wretched wreck from her home. And I say she is right; and I will maintain this opinion against the combined Pecksniffs of the whole world.

This act, and the malice of enemies, together with her bold opinions on social questions, have combined to give her reputation a stain. But no slander ever fell on any human soul with greater injustice. A more unsullied woman does not walk the earth. She is one of those aspiring devotees who tread the earth merely as a stepping-stone to Heaven, and whose chief ambition is finally to present herself at the supreme tribunal "spotless, and without wrinkle, or blemish, or any such thing." Knowing her as well as I do, I cannot hear an accusation against her without recalling Tennyson's line of King Arthur,

"Is thy white blamelessness accounted blame?"

In speaking of the Woodhull Memorial, he said: The document was shown to a number of friends, including one eminent judge, who ridiculed its logic and conclusions. But the lady herself, from whose sleeping and yet unsleeping brain the strange document had sprung like Minerva from the head of Jove, simply answered that her antique instructor, having never misled her before, was guiding her along then. Nothing doubting, but much wondering, she took the novel demand to Washington, where after a few days of laughter from the shallow-minded, and of neglect from the indifferent, it suddenly burst upon the Federal Capitol like a storm, and then spanned it like a rainbow. She went before the Judiciary Committee, and delivered an argument in support of her claim to the franchise under the new amendments, which some who heard it pronounced one of the ablest efforts which they had ever heard on any subject. She caught the listening ears of Senator Carpenter, General Butler, Judge Woodward, George W. Julian, General Ashley, Judge Loughridge, and other able statesmen in Congress, and harnessed these gentlemen as steeds to her chariot. Such was the force of her appeal that the whole city rushed together to hear it, like the Athenians to the market-place when Demosthenes stood in his own and not a borrowed clay. A great audience, one of the finest ever gathered in the capital, assembled to hear her defend her thesis in the first public speech of her life. At the moment of rising, her face was observed to be very pale, and she appeared about to faint. On being afterward questioned as to the cause of her emotion, she replied that, during the first prolonged moment, she remembered an early prediction of her guardian spirit, until then forgotten, that she would one day speak in public, and that her first discourse would be pronounced in the capital of her country. The sudden fulfillment of this prophecy smote her so violently that for a moment she was stunned into apparent unconsciousness. But she recovered herself, and passed through the ordeal with great success-which is better luck than happened to the real Demosthenes, for Plutarch mentions that his maiden speech was a failure, and that he was laughed at by the people.

Assisted by Elizabeth Cady Stanton, Paulina Wright Davis, sabella Beecher Hooker, Susan B. Anthony, and other staunch and able women, whom she swiftly persuaded into accepting this construction of the Constitution, she succeeded, after her petition was denied by a majority of the Judiciary cmmittee, in obtaining a minority report in its favor, signed jointly by Gen. Benj. F. Butler, of Massachusetts, and Judge Loughridge, of Iowa. To have clutched this report from General Butler—as it were a scalp from the ablest head in the nouse of Representatives—was a sufficient trophy to entitle the brave lady to an enrollment in the political history of her

I must say something of her personal appearance although it defies portrayal, whether by photograph or pen. Neither six weeks." The Judge, who is a Methodist, thinks that tall nor short, stout nor slim, she is of medium stature, lithe and elastic, free and graceful. Her side face, looked at over her left shoulder, is of perfect aquiline outline, as classic as ever went into a Roman marble, and resembles the mask of Shakespeare taken after death; the same view, looking from the right, is a little broken and irregular; and the front face is broad, with prominent cheek bones, and with some unshapely nasal lines. Her countenance is never twice alike, so variable is its expression and so dependent on her moods Her soul comes into it and goes out of it, giving her at one time the look of a superior and almost saintly intelligence, and at another leaving her dull, commonplace and unprepossessing. When under a strong spiritual influence, a strange and mystical light irradiates from her face, reminding the beholder of the Hebrew Lawgiver who gave to men what he received from God and whose face during the transfer shone. Tennyson, as with the hand of a gold-beater, has beautifully gilded the same expression in his stanza of St. Stephen the Martyr in the article of death:

" And looking upward, full of grace, He prayed, and from a happy place, God's glory smote him on the face.'

In conversation, until she is somewhat warmed with earnest-

message, whether it be of persuasion or indignation, and particularly when under spiritual control, she is a very orator for eloquence—pouring forth her sentences like a mountain streams sweeping away everything that frets its flood.

Her hair which, when left to itself, is as long as those tresses of Hortense in which her son Louis Napoleon used to play hide-and-seek, she now mercilessly cuts close like a boy's, from impatience at the daily waste of time in suitably taking care of this prodigal gift of nature.

"Difficulties," says Emerson, "exist to be surmounted." This might be the motto of her life. In her lexicon (which is still of youth) there is no such word as fail. Her ambition is stupendous-nothing is too great for her grasp. Prescient of the grandeur of her destiny, she goes forward with a resist-less fanaticism to accomplish it. Believing thoroughly in herself (or rather not in herself but in her spirit-aids) she allows no one else to doubt either her or them. In her case the old miracle is enacted anew-the faith which removes mountains. A soul set on edge is a conquering weapon in the battle of life. Such, and of Damasous temper, is hers.

In making an epitome of her views, I may say that in poli tics she is a downright democrat, scorning to divide her fellow-citizens into upper and lower classes, but ranking them all in one comprehensive equality of right, privilege and opportunity; concerning finance, which is a favorite topic with her, the holds that gold is not the true standard of moneyvalue, but that the government should abolish the goldstandard, and issue its notes instead, giving to these a fixed and permanent value, and circulating them as the only money; on social questions, her theories are similar to those which have long been taught by John Stuart Mill and Elizabeth Cady Stanton, and which are styled by some as free-love doctrines, while others reject this appellation on account of its popular association with the idea of a promiscuous intimacy between the sexes-the essence of her system being that marriage is of the heart and not of the law; that when love ends marriage should end with it, being dissolved by nature, and that no civil statute should outwardly bind two hearts which have been inwardly sundered; and, finally, in religion, she is a spiritualist of the most mystical and ethereal

Engrossed in business affairs, nevertheless at any moment she would rather die than live—such is her infinite estimate of the other world over this. But she disdains all commonplace parleyings with the spirit-realm such as are had in ordinary spirit-manifestations. On the other hand, she is passionately eager to see the spirits face to face—to summon them at her will and commune with them at her pleasure. Twice (as she unshakenly believes) she has seen a vision of Jesus Christ -honored thus doubly over St. Paul, who saw his Master but once, and then was overcome by the sight. She never goes to any church—save to the solemn temple whose starry arch spans her housetop at night, where she sits like Simeon Stylites on his pillar, a worshiper in the sky. Against the inculcations of her childish education, the spirits have taught her that he whom the church calls the Saviour of the world is not God but man. But her reverence for him is supreme and ecstatic. The Sermon on the Mount fills her eyes with tears. The exulting exclamations of the Psalmist are her familiar outbursts of devotion. For two years, as a talisman against any temptation toward untruthfulness (which, with her, is the unpardonable sin), she wore, stitched into the sleeve of every one of her drssses, the 2d verse of the 120th Psalm, namely, "Deliver my soul, O Lord, from lying lips, and from a deceitful tongue." Speaking the truth punctiliously, whether in great things or small, she so rigorously exacts the same of others, that a deceit practiced upon her enkindles her soul to a flame of fire; and she has acquired a clairvoyant or intuitive power to detect a lie in the moment of its utterance, and to smite the liar in his act of guilt. She believes that intellectual power has its fountains in spiritual inspiration. And once when I put to her the searching question, "What is the greatest truth that has ever been expressed in words?" she thrilled me with the sudden answer, "Blessed are the pure in heart for they shall see God."

As showing that her early clairvoyant power still abides, I will mention a fresh instance. An eminent judge in Pennsylvania, in whose court-house I had once lectured, called lately to see me at the office of The Golden Age. On my inquiring after his family, he told me that a strange event had just happened in it. "Three months ago," said he "while I was in New York, Mrs. Woodhull said to me, with a rush of feeling, 'Judge, I foresee that you will lose two of your children within six weeks.'" This announcement, he said, wounded him as a tragic sort of trifling with life and death. "But," I asked, "did anything follow the prophecy?"
"Yes," he replied, "fulfillment; I lost two children within Victoria the clairvoyant is like "Anna the prophetess."

Let me say that I know of no person against whom there are more prejudices, nor any one who more quickly disarms: them. This strange faculty is the most powerful of her powers. She shoots a word like a sudden sunbeam through the thickest mist of people's doubts and accusations, and clears the sky in a moment. Questioned by some committee or delegation who have come to her with idle tales against her busy life, I have seen her swiftly gather together all the stones which they have cast, put them like the miner's quartz into the furnace, melt them with fierce and fervent heat, bring out of them the purest gold, stamp thereon her image and superscription as she were sovereign of the realm, and then (as the marvel of it all) receive the sworn allegiance of the whole company on the spot. At one of her public meetings when the chair (as she hoped) would be occupied by Lucretia Mott, this venerable woman had been persuaded to decline this responsibility, but afterward stepped forward on the platform and lovingly kissed the young speaker in preserce of the multitude. Her enemies (save those of her own household) are strangers. To see her is to respect her-to ness, she halts, as if her mind was elsewhere, but the moment know her is to vindicate her. She has some impetuous and shadow in strange contrast with the whiteness of her life; she brings all faculties to her lips for the ful utterance of her headlong faults, but were she without the same traits which

produce these she would not possess the mad and magnificent energies which (if she lives) will make her a heroine of

In conclusion, amid all the rush of her active life, she believes with Wordsworth that

> "The gods approve the depth and not The tumult of the soul."

So, whether buffeted by criticism or defamed by slander, she carries herself in that religious peace which, through all turbulence, is "a measureless content," When apparently about to be struck down, she gathers unseen strength and goes forward conquering and to conquer. Known only as a rash iconoclast, and ranked even with the most uncouth of of those noise-makers who are waking a sleepy world before its time, she beats her daily gong of business and reform with notes not musical but strong, yet mellows the outward rudeness of the rhythm by the inward and devout song of one of the sincerest, most reverent, and divinely-gifted of

MRS. WOODHULL INTERVIEWED AND CRITICISED. [Correspondence of the Troy Whig, Sept. 1871.]

I went yesterday to see Mrs. Woodhull-prompted, I coness, by the most vulgar curiosity, just as I might walk a block to see Jim Fisk, Beelzebub or a two-headed monstrosity. I had never been more violently prejudiced against any per-Bron, man or woman. It was not alone that I considered her impure in character. Private immorality may be viewed with pity, sometimes with contempt. But accepting, with Stuart Mill and Beecher, the principle of Woman's Rights, I loathed Mrs. Woodhull for disgracing a good cause, for brazenly hitching this cause, as I supposed, to the business card of a tramping broker. A thousand things in the general press seemed to justify this conviction. On reaching the lyceum hall of the Spiritualists, I found that Mrs. Woodhull had just finished her remarks to the convention, and had retired with some friends to an ante-room. Seeing an editorial acquaintance, I asked him to stroll with me into the room and point her oui. I refused an introduction, thinking at first that, in Mrs. Woodhull's case, it would answer to forget the manners of a gentleman, and simply stare at her. But, once in the room, this attitude became ridiculous, and so I was presented to her

Qoubtless no person in America has been so misjudged as this young woman. Everybody has written harshly of her. I have done so with the rest. But as Tilton heads his biography of Mrs. Woodhull, "He that uttereth a slander is a fool." I had not even taken the trouble to read Mr. Tilton's article. until after I saw his heroine. But I now think that in telling the sad story of her life, he has done the American people a

Mrs. Woodhull is certainly not what is called a "well-balanced mind." To use the common word, she is "crazy"-a little so, but in the same sense in which Joan of Arc and Swedenborg were "out of their heads." But she is not coarse, not vain, not selfish; she is not even self-conscious in the meaning of ordinary egotism. She has just the reverse of all these qualities. She is simply an enthusiast-the most rapt idealist I have ever met. In conversation she never seems to think of herself, and scarcely of her listener; she is entirely lost, absorbed heart and soul, in the ideas she advocates. Her very financial schemes seem a crusade against Wall street, rathers than endeavors to prosper by its vicious

Mr. Tilton's description of her person is accurate. Her face is not sensuously attractive, but its intellectual beauty is much more than remarkable. I know of no other public character with such a transparent expression of impassioned thought. Even Anna Dickinson, whose moral earnestness is almost the whole secret of her power, has an inexpressive face compared with this sibyl of politics and Spiritualism.

I should hesitate for a long time before joining the "Victoria League." The country can probably do very well without Mrs. Woodhull for President. She would be scarcely superior in that position to Horace Greeley himself. But that she believes implicitly in her destiny, feels that she was born for a great work, is evident at the glance of an eye.

Tilton thinks she occasionally writes English—whether by aid of her spirit, "Demosthenes," or otherwise—"not unworthy of Macaulay."

A passage is given, for example, eloquent enough, but rather "spiritual" in vocabulary, and treating, among other things, of "consonant harmony." Such figures of speech I don't remember to have seen in Macaulay, and I doubt that "Demosthenes" ever used to employ them in his more careful Greek orations. She is such an intense nature that I presume she sees visions—as many angels as Saint John, perhaps, as many devils as Luther. Had she been carefully trained from childhood, I must think she would have been a wonderful scholar, poet and thinker. As it is, she is an abnormal growth of democratic institutions-thoroughly sincere, and fitted to exaggerate great truths.

But now that Mr. Tilton has shown her personal character to be as pure as that of any women married after divorce; now that the story of her two husbands has been exploded in all but the most generous pity and charity for the outcast Woodhull, American editors should heal the wound they have caused by their ignorant slanders. If the press of this nation has not settled into a hopeless oligarchy of gossips, a "coward's castle" filled with blackguards, it will make the atonement that common decency demands.

E. H. G. CLARK.

STEINWAY HALL INTRODUCTION. [November 20, 1871.]

Mr. Theodore Tilton led Mrs. Woodhull upon the platform, and, in introducing her, said:

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN: Happening to have an unoccupied night, which is an unusual thing for me in the lecture season, I came to this meeting actuated by curiosity to know

question which has occupied her so many years of her life. I was met at the door by a member of the committee who informed me that several gentlemen had been applied to, particularly within the circuit of these two or three neighboring cities, to know whether they would occupy the platform and preside on this occasion. Every one had declined, one after another, for various reasons, the chief among them being, first, objections to the lady's character; and second, objections to the lady's views. I was told that she was coming upon the stand unattended and alone. Now, as to her character. I know it, and believe in it, and vouch for it. As to her views, she will give them to you herself in a few moments, and you may judge for yourselves. It may be that she is a fanatic: it may be that I am a fool; but, before high heaven, I would rather be both fanatic and fool in one than to be such a coward as would deny to a woman the sacred right of free speech. I desire to say that five minutes ago, I did not expect to appear here. Allow me the privilege of saying that, with as much pride as ever prompted me to the performance of any act in fifteen or twenty years, I have the honor of introducing to you Victoria C. Woodhull, who will address you upon the subject of Social Freedom.

In December, 1871, in a letter published in the Golden Age, Mrs. Stanton wrote thus:

"Some people carp at the national organization, because in indorses Mrs. Woodhull. When our Representatives granted to Victoria C. Woodhull a hearing before the Judiciary Committee—an honor conferred on no other woman in the nation before-they recognized Mrs. Woodhull as the leader of the woman suffrage movement in this country. And those of us who were convinced by her unanswerable arguments that her positions were sound had no choice but to follow.

"Mrs. Woodhull's speeches and writings, on all the great questions of national life, are beyond anything yet produced by man or woman on one platform. What if foul-mouthed scandal, with its many tongues, seeks to defile her? Shall we ignore a champion like this? Admit, for the sake of argument, that what all men say of her is true-though it is false—that she has been or is a courtesan, in sentiment and practice. When a woman of this class shall suddenly devote herself to the study of the grave problem of life, brought there by profound thought or sad experience, and with new hope and faith struggles to redeem the errors of the past by a grand life in the future, shall we not welcome her to the better place she desires to hold? There is to me a sacredness in individual experience that it seems to me like profanation to search into and expose.

"Victoria C. Woodhull stands before us to-day a grand, brave woman, radical alike in political, religious and social principles. Her face and form indicate the complete triumph in her nature of the spiritual over the sensuous. The processes of her education are little to us; the grand result everything. Are our brilliant flowers less fragrant, our luscious fruit less palatable, because the debris of sewers and barn-yards have enriched them? The nature that can pass through all phases of social degradation, vice, crime, poverty and temptation in all its forms, and yet maintain a purity and dignity of character through all, gives unmistakable proof of its high origin,

"The Litium Candidum, that magnificent lily, so white and pure that it looks as if it ne'er could battle with the wind and storm, that queen of flowers flourishes in all soils, braves all winds and weathers, sunshine and rain, heat and cold, and with its feet in frozen clods still lifts its pure white face forever toward the stars.

"When I think of the merciless and continued persecution of that little woman by the entire press of this nation, I blush for humanity. In the name of woman let me thank you (Mr. Tilton) for so generously defending her.

In a letter to the WEEKLY, of date March 10, 1872, Mrs. Stanton said:

"I ask no higher praise than to have it said that youmaligned, denounced, cruelly and wickedly persecuted by priests, politicians, press and people-ever found a warm and welcome place in my heart, and by my side you are doing a grand work, not only for your sex, but for humanity. I have read all your speeches and bound volumes on political and social equality, and I consider your arguments on the many national questions now moving popular thought able and unanswerable.

"Do not let the coldness and ingratitude of some of some of your sex wound you, while such noble women as Lucretia Mott, Martha C. Wright, Paulina W. Davis, Matilda J. Gage, Mary J. Davis, Susan B. Anthony and Isabella Beecher Hooker are one and all your sincere friends.

"The latter spent a few days with me not long since; and one night, as we sat alone hour after hour, by the bright moonlight, talking over the past, the present and the future of woman's sad history and happier destiny, and of your sudden and marvellous coming, she abruptly exclaimed, 'that little woman has bridged with her prostrate body an awful gulf over which womanhood will walk to her freedom. Many of us fully appreciate the deep plowing, sub-soiling and under-draining you have done for public and private morals in the last year; and while the world sneers at your blunders, we shall garner up your noble utterances with grateful hearts."

SUSAN B. ANTHONY.

In her speech before the Washington Convention, in January; 1872, she said:

"Some one says I am mad. Victoria Woodhull was mad last night; but she did not begin to be as mad as I am now. She has been abused, but not half so much as I have been. I want you to understand you can't scare me, if you do others. When I heard of a woman on Wall street I went to see her Women have the same right there that men have. I have been asked by many: Why did you drag Victoria C. Woodhull to the front? Now, bless your souls, she was not dragged

might be proud. She had an interview with the Judiciary Committee; we could never secure that privilege. She was young, handsome and rich. Now if it take youth, beauty and money, to capture Congress, Victoria is the woman we are

"Women have too much false modesty. I was asked by an editor of a New York paper if I knew of Mrs. Woodhull's antecedents. I said I didn't, and that I did not care any more for them than I do about those of the members of Congress. Her antecedents will compare favorably with any member of Congress.

"I have been asked, along the line of the Pacific Coast: What about Woodhull; you make her your leader? Now we don't make leaders; they make themselves. If any can accomplish a more brilliant effort than Victoria Woodhull, let him or her go ahead and they shall be our leaders."

VICTORIA C. WOODHULL.

[From the Sunday Washington Gazette, January, 1872.] Those professing independence are not independent if they are afraid to tell the truth when a proper time exists for its expression. Concerning the prominent woman whose name stands at the head of this article, we propose to speak the truth—to reiterate a few facts of history.

Victoria C. Woodhull in her personal appearance and address, is a gentle lady. She charms all who come within the influences of her presence, and attaches such to her as friends. She is an eminent truth-teller. Touching the faults and blemishes of her life, she herself tells the truth. Anything beyond what she tells resolves itself into the form of unwarranted and malignant strictures—the offspring alike of jealousy or depravity, and from which the names of but few women are free in this wicked world. Well-judging menthose who know anything of the world—are not apt to eagerly credit irresponsible reports affecting a lady's character. They also know enough to know that a woman with a face like Victoria Woodhull's-one radiant even with an angelic expression—is not and cannot easily be a bad woman. "Impossible!" echo those who know her. Another fact: She is generous to the poor. Her hands are white with charity. She is a modest woman. It is her devotion to a cause in which her heart and tremendous energies are interested, that inspires her. It is the advancement of the cause, her interests in humanity, and not personal pride or ambition, which impel her onward. She is a popular woman. This fact in this community needs no argument to sustain it. She is heroic and selfsacrificing, and would not hesitate at anything, not even to the laying down of her life for truth. She is a devout believer in immortality, and in the "communion of the saints," and in that sense a Spiritualist. All who are believers in immortality are Spiritualists. This dogma is infallible. Mrs. Woodhull is soon to speak in this city. She will have a large audience, beyond any question, if we may judge from the intense anxiety to hear her, so plainly and continuously exhibited at every session of the Woman Suffrage Convention just held in this city. Constantly, upon opportunity presenting itself, loud cries were incessantly made, uttering, "Woodhull! Woodhull!" imperatively demanding her presence.

WILLIAM F. HOWE.

THE CELEBRATED CRIMINAL LAWYER.

Of the several justly-noted gentlemen who have well-earned reputations as criminal lawyers before the bar of the metropolis of the Western Hemisphere, no single one, perhaps, combines so many of the elements of success as the subject of this sketch. Indeed, it has become almost a maxim, that if any given case has a defense, he will be sure to find and use it; and a person charged with any crime may readily content himself with the conclusion that he will have, in him, the benefit of every possible circumstance that is available.

We are aware that there is a sentiment among lawyers who practice exclusively in civil cases which regards those who practice exclusively in criminal courts as unworthy of their association; indeed, there are known cases in which members of the former class have refused to act because the latter were engaged. But we beg to most respectfully dissent from this sort of exclusiveness, and to reply to it, that if honor belong to one class of the legal profession more than the other. it ought to those who labor for the unfortunate of the race. who, in most instances, are more "sinned against than sinning." To our mind there is a no more honorable calling than the defense of so-called criminals; and a no more honorable representative of this calling than Mr. Howe.

Our personal acquaintance with Mr. Howe began upon the or unon which we were amouted for States mails by depositing THE WEEKLY, No. 111, in the New York Post-office; though we had been, long previously, wellacquainted with him by reputation. He had learned on the previous evening that we were to be arrested, and gracefully tendered his services for our defense, if we were not already provided, which resulted in his being retained. The eminent ability displayed by his (as physicians would say) diagnosis of our cases, has led us to inquire into his history, with a view to giving it to our readers, who undoubtedly will be as much interested in it as we were.

Mr. Howe is the son of Rev. Samuel Howe, an eminent Episcopalian clergyman, and was born in Boston, Mass., July 7, 1828, and is, consequently, now forty-four years of age. In 1831 the family removed to England, where an elder son, Ferdinand, rose to a large practice at the London bar, and a younger son, Gerald, to be Private Secretary to Premier Gladstone. At the age of 21, William F. entered King's College, and graduated with marked honors, and then entered the office of Mr. George Waugh, a noted English barrister. Here his keen perception, energy and application, soon attracted the attention of Mr. Waugh, who promoted him to the head to the front. She came to Washington with a powerful argu- of his corps of assistants, where he remained several years, ment. She presented her memorial to Congress, and it was a often appearing in the English courts in place of his employer. power. I should have been glad to call it the Dickinson But Mr. Howe never relinquished an intention of returning Memorial, or the Beecher Memorial, or even the Anthony to this country, which he put in practice in the year 1857.

what my friend would have to say in regard to the great Memorial, since it was a mighty effort of which any woman On arriving here, he entered the office of D. R. Seeley,

Esq., one of our then oldest legal practitioners. In this position Mr. Howe remained one year, studying American law most incessantly, and frequently appearing in our courts. "by grace," until he was fully licensed. And it may be here stated, that out of a list of over one hundred candidates for admission to the bar, only eighteen passed, and in that num-

ber was included the subject of this sketch.

His first case of importance in this city was a very delicate one, being a test question as to whether Col. Walter W. Price, a wealthy brewer, was entitled to the position of Colonel of the First Cavalry Regiment, N. G., S. N. Y., he having received the second highest number of votes. Mr. Howe took the ground that his client was entitled to the office, being a resident of this city, while his competitor, who had received the largest number of ballots, resided in Brooklyn. This question was argued before the Brigade Court, and its decision being adverse, Mr. Howe took the case to the Court of Appeals, where a favorable decision was rendered, and Mr. Price was duly installed in the position. This was Mr. Howe's first technical victory of note, and brought him into considerable prominence. He soon after opened an office at the corner of Chambers and Centre streets, devoted his entire time and energy to civil matters, was highly successful, and soon became immensely popular. In 1859, finding himself erowded with business, he removed to his present suite of offices, which had formerly been occupied by the late Judge Russell, and from that time down to the present he has made criminal matters a specialty.

In the fall of 1859 Mr. Howe first appeared in our courts as a criminal lawyer. A man named Devine had been tried and convicted in the Court of Special Sessions on a charge of larceny. He took his case to the General Term of the Supreme Court, contending that the conviction was illegal, inasmuch as the statute provides that three Justices should sit, whereas at the trial of Devine but two had attended. Many members of the bar laughed at him, declaring his position an untenable one. The question was argued by the Hon. John Sedgwick for the prosecution, Mr. Howe appearing for Devine. The court decided the point well taken, and ordered the discharge

In 1862 he defended a German named Jacob Weller, who had been indicted for the murder of his wife by shooting. This case was very fully discussed by the entire press of the city, and occasioned the greatest excitement among the German population. Mr. Howe took the ground that deceased shot herself, a discharged pistol being found by her side. The trial lasted eight days, and resulted in a disagreement of the jury. At this stage of the proceedings, owing to some misunderstanding, Mr. Howe withdrew from the defense. The case was re-tried, other counsel being substituted, the prisoner was convicted and sent to State Prison for life.

Just at the outbreak of the war with the Southern States, one William Griffin was arrested by the United States authorities on the charge of poisoning three ship captains, successively, attached to the same vessel, and of which he was chief mate-all three deaths occurring within six weeks. Griffin's ambition was to obtain the position of master of the vessel, run her down South and engage in the profitable (though dangerous) calling of blockade-running. After the death of the first victim, Griffin applied for the vacancy, but another captain was appointed by the owners. He, too, was soon removed, without suspicion. Griffin again asked for the command, but still another seaman was appointed over him. But when this last master suddenly died, suspicion was aroused, it having been given out that the deaths occurred from yellow fever, whereas the vessel was then lying in a most healthy port. The body of his last victim was sent to Boston, the stomach analyzed and found to contain large quantities of the sulphate of copper. One Lee, the steward, together with Griffin, the first mate, were promptly arrested, and Mr. Howe was engaged for the defense.

Before the trial, Lee turned United States' evidence, confessed all, swearing that when he sent claret or other drinks to the victims, in a tumbler, Griffin would rub this poison around the edge of the glass, and thus it was immediately carried to the bottom of the stomach by the act of drinking, and in less than two hours the victim became a corpse.

The prosecution apparently never had a clearer case, and an easy task was evidently before them. Mr. Howe, in defence, set up that the prisoner-witness, Lee, had himself administered the poison, and now being under arrest, sought to save his own neck by turning States' evidence, and implicating the mate. The cross-examination revealed the fact that Lee's rest was continually disturbed by horrid dreams; that spirits of the dead haunted him; and, in fact, so cleverly did Mr. Howe manage his side of the case, that at the end of a week's trial the jury remained out two days and nights, and finally returned to the court-room, unable to agree. But so victed, Judge Bedford having convened the November term confident was the then District-Attorney of an ultimate contime brought into court the three weeping widows of the dead captains, for the sake of effect, and appealed most eloquently to the jury to avenge the murder of their three husbands by a prompt verdict of guilty.

. Mr. Howe had arisen from a sick bed to attend this case, and his wife and young daughter were then in the court-room as spectators. In a masterly address to the jury, lasting some two hours, he succeeded in implanting in their minds a doubt as to whether his client, Griffin, or the informer, Lee, did really administer the poison. Seeing the determination of opposing counsel to convict the accused at all hazards, Mr. Howe, on the instant, resolved to save his client, and defeat his antagonists with their own weapons.

In his closing address, pointing toward his own wife and child, sitting unknown in the corner of the court-room, he asked the jury, with tearful eyes and choking voice, not to make that wife a widow-that child an orphan. Continuing thus for some time in a strain of most touching pathos, the

jury, fully believing they were looking upon the family of the prisoner, were evidently moved from their very soul's depths by the well-timed eloquence of the cunning counsel. Again the benefit of the accused, he was triumphantly acquitted,

with a verdict of not guilty! Every one was surprised—no one more so than the prisoner himself. Probably there never occurred a better illustration than this of the ready adaptation of circumstances to meet a sudden emergency.

In 1870 a man named William Chambers killed one Voorhees, a boss carpenter and builder, under circumstances which seemed nothing short of premeditated murder. The deceased was an immensely popular man, and a member of several benevolent orders, including the Masons and Oddfellows, and fully 8,000 people attended the funeral. So intense, indeed, was the public feeling against Chambers, that a large mob surrounded the jail in which he was confined, threatening to force an entrance and lynch him on the spot.

The evidence on the trial was direct and conclusive, and there seemed no apparent hope of saving the wretched culprit's life. However, Mr. Howe, in his defense, set up the fact that, at the time of the shooting, his client was attacked by an epileptic mania which rendered him entirely unconscious of the crime he was committing; that, in fact, he was then wholly insane; and, moreover, had been assaulted, and was even then suffering from the effects of blows upon the head, as was apparently shown by his appearing in court with bandages and plasters all around his forehead.

In rebuttal, the prosecution produced two medical "experts" from the Flatbush Asylum, who stated most positively that the prisoner was sane, and fully aware of the enormity of his crime at the time of its commission. In the crossexamination Mr. Howe wholly broke down their testimony, and finally wrung from them both an admission that there might be the shadow of a doubt as to the soundness of the prisoner's mind. Here was the turning point of this case, and the District Attorney, ex-Judge Morris, was completely nonplussed. The jury were charged by the court to give the prisoner the benefit of any doubt that might exist in their minds. and after an absence of a few-minutes only, brought into court a verdict of acquittal. The prisoner leaped from his seat, gave one loud shout of joy, tore the now-useless bandages from his head, and speedily disappeared. The court. counsel and all, were completely astounded. It may be proper to remark here, that Mr. Howe's success in this case, as in several others, was mainly due to his profound research among authorities, and a close study of all the different forms of insanity; he is one of the best medico-legal practitioners in the country, and has at his residence a medical library that would be an honor to any physician. His knowledge of brain diseases, epilepsy, etc., was most fully shown in the scorching cross-examination of the two medical witnesses above referred to. This case was tried before Judge Joseph F. Barnard, and occupied but one day.

He also defended Jack Reynolds, surnamed "the Wolf," whose expression of "Hanging for murder in New York is played out," created much comment. This man, charged with murder, had neither friends nor money, and was, in fact, an outlaw—a Pariah on society. Mr. Howe undertook his defense, at the request of Judge Ingraham, worked most faithfully for the wretched being's life, and spent nearly one thousand dollars of his own private funds. But this crime was too appalling. The evidence admitted no shadow of a doubt; human effort and ingenuity were of no avail, and Reynolds was soon after strangled to death according to law.

In that same year one Valentine Ruckel was tried for the cold-blooded murder of a boy in Greenwich street. The circumstances, as published at the time and brought forth by the prosecution on the trial, seemed to call for nothing short of the extreme penalty of the law; but Mr. Howe's tact and eloquence again prevailed, and his client being found guilty of manslaughter in the third degree, got off with a short term

Mr. Howe was also engaged to defend in all the recent prosecutions for abortion. In the well-remembered case of Rozensweig, the author of the "Bowlsby Horror," the coroner had held him on the charge of murder, but through some management or other, which it is presumed the subject of this narrative could explain, he was indicted for the crime of abortion only, and sentenced to prison for seven years. Lookup Evans, another "doctor" of the same stripe, was sentenced to four years on a similar charge, though Mr. Howe has lately succeeded in setting him at liberty, after being nearly a year in State Prison. A third case of some notoriety was that of Mrs. Ann E. Burns, for causing the death of Mary Russell. She was tried before Judge Bedford, convicted by the jary without leaving their seats, and sentenced to seven years up the river." During the trial Mr. Howe took exception to the court trying the case at all, and immediately upon the rendition of the verdict got out a writ of error, with stay of proceedings, and took an appeal to the Supreme Court on the ground that the accused had been illegally tried and conof the court on the first day of that month, and the trial took again brought Grimn to trial, and at the same | place on the seventh day of December, without being convened specially for that month, the statute positively requiring the Court of General Sessions to begin its sittings on the first of every month. District-Attorney Sullivan appeared in opposition, but Mr. Howe, as usual, was successful, and Mrs. Burns is to-day a free woman, whether she ought to be or not. This decision in the Burns matter has affected all the cases brought against members of the Tammany Ring, and has caused the Legislature to pass new laws bearing upon that

Another victory was the acquittal of Dr. Gyles, who had been on trial some days, charged with abortion, resulting in the death of Mrs. Lowden. The testimony seemed conclusive in this case, and when Mr. Howe declined to cross-examine several witnesses for the State, people were in a maze of wonderment (and none more so than the District-Attorney) as to what was going to be Mr. Howe's line of defense. Suspense was soon relieved. Having no direct exculpatory evidence, he threw in a sufficiency of testimony as to provious good character of prisoner, and on other points, as to raise grave doubts in the minds of the jury; and, by law, all doubts enuring to the jury retired to consult upon a verdict, and after an ab- quite to the astonishment of everybody, none more so, probsence of some thirty-six hours, returned to the court-room ably, than the learned counselor himself.

Mr. Howe's last victory was in the case of James Burns, who was tried for killing John O'Halloran in the Gotham saloon, last April. The evidence showed that Burns deliberately shot O'Halloran, without a word of warning, and no defense seemed possible for the accused; but as a dernier ressort Mr. Howe again availed himself of his knowledge of the diseases of the brain, and to the surprise of all, proved his client to have been insane at the time of the shooting, and so Burns was acquitted of the murder.

At the advent to power of what was then known as the Young Democracy in this city, the Court of Special Sessions was allowed to be composed of three justices, to constitute and hold it on each consecutive month, and that right was thus virtually taken away from Justice Dowling, who had been appointed by Governor Seymour. When that party received its death-blow, Judges Dowling and Shandley were appointed by the Mayor, thus depriving the others from holding court. Mr. Howe claimed that the act which gave the Mayor power to appoint was unconstitutional, and was further made void by being embodied in the tax levy, whereas the Constitution requires every distinct act to have a separate title. Mr. Howe appeared before Judge Brady of the Supreme Court, argued and carried this point, thereby securing the release of some 240 persons who had been committed for various offenses.

During the war, Mr. Howe at one time secured the release from service of an entire company of soldiers, some seventy strong. Getting out writs of habeas corpus, he brought them before Judge McCunn, and set up the theory that their enlistment was illegal, inasmuch as some were minors (or "infants," in the eyes of the law,) and the balance had been sworn in while intoxicated. Immediately after this achievement he received the soubriquet of "Habeas Corpus Howe," which he still wears.

Last March Mr. Howe defended one Philip Wilke, a German, who had deliberately murdered a fellow-countryman. Upon the trial Wilke spoke only through an interpreter, evidently not understanding a word of English. Immediately upon receiving his sentence of imprisonment for life, he heartily thanked Mr. Howe in excellent English for saving his neck! "Speech is silver, silence is golden."

The eminence to which Mr. Howe has attained in defending persons charged with murder cannot be more forcibly illustrated than by the fact that not long since he was counsel for all but two out of twenty-five confined in the Tombs, charged with that crime; and was invited to assist in the defense of one of the two-Stokes-but for reasons which can not now be made public he declined. That the pre-eminent reputation which can secure almost the monopoly of this class of practice has a well-deserved and substantial basis, must be evident to all.

The celebrated actress, Lotta, had (prior to becoming of age) laid by the neat little sum of twenty thousand dollars. and her avaricious father laid hands on all those earnings and refused to give them up. Mr. Howe took the matter in hand, and compelled him to disgorge the entire amount, receiving many thanks as well as a handsome fee from that vivacious little favorite.

Mr. Howe is an eminently sociable gentleman. His conversation is lively and entertaining, in manner he is suave and affable, easily approached, and, in fact, has the happy faculty of placing every one at their ease, and it is this feature in his character which gives him so great a hold upon the public; and yet, with all this, he has a natural dignity about him which commands the respect of all. He is unusually domestic in his nature and habits, a fond husband and father, and always to be found at home, outside of business hours; while everything about him denotes the possession of ample means and a refined taste. He cares little for money, except as a means to happiness for himself and those about him; and his generosity is proverbial.

In person, Mr. Howe is of the sturdy English build, not too corpulent, and evidently a man of great physical as well as mental strength. He has a fine, florid complexion, pleasant features, a keen eye, full face, and wears a heavy black mustache. His hair is somewhat streaked with silver.

Mr. Howe is fluent of speech, graceful in gesture, and has a rapid, though distinct delivery. He has won many a desperate case by carrying the jury with him, through sheer eloquence alone. He is a most consummate actor, as some of the cases above cited will show; never at a loss for words, quick at repartee, and immensely popular with his brother practitioners.

The great success of this advocate at the bar must be mainly attributed to his thorough mastery of every code, as well as all the intricacies of criminal practice and pleadings; in part, also, to the profound study and earnest attention given to every case by a keen, analyzing mind. Though much is due sometimes to fine dramatic acting, much more is the result, doubtless, of the wonderful mes-Howe exerts in his addresses.

It is a noticeable fact that all those men, in whatever age they lived, who have attained to great eminence or success in the same profession or calling, have invariable possessed many traits of character in common. Those who may peruse the pen portraits of some of our chief legal luminaries will readily recognize the force of the above remark, as also the truth of that old Latin maxim, "Nothing great or good is attained without hard labor." It is universally conceded by both bench and bar that William F. Howe is a most able, conscientious lawyer, and a thoroughly honest man. In more than one instance, persons seeking his aid, and ready to plank down handsome retainers, have been sent away because he discovered fatal defects in their cases, and was unwilling to take their money for services that would be of little or no avail. For, as Mr. Howe himself observes, "Honesty and fair dealing is really the best policy in a purely financial point of view, even if no higher motive governed; for when people find I advise them for their interests, regardless of my own, they are sure to return some day with better cases and more money." Evidently Counselor Howe has not only well studied the legal precepts, but has adopted as rules of action the moral teachings of the great fathers of his profession, particularly those beautiful inculcations of Cicero to his son, to be found in his "De Officiis," a work which is familiar to all well-read members of the bar. willing to take their money for services that would be of

a a few construence a man and a few contracts of the state of the stat

The scene has been, from the first, sublime. Mr. Beecher has gone forth, preaching, praying and pouring forth his great soul of inspiration, as if nothing had happened. His elders and deacons have gathered around him as a solid phalanx, saying: "Make no explanation—not even to us; we ask none; we will see that the church and congregation accept and maintain the same tacit league of acquiescence." And they have done so; and church, and congregation, and the public—to a large extent—have quietly subsided into the acceptance of his position, whatsoever it may be.

By this magnanimous system of tactics, which could not have been carried out at any former period of the world's history—which could not have been carried out, probably, in any other church and congregation in the world—which marks grandly and sublimely the exalted influence which the great preacher has rightly acquired, during all these years, over the minds of his people—what otherwise would have been a disastrous fall, has been broken, opinion has been modified, adverse judgment of the conduct itself, mollified, and the way prepared for the ulterior acceptance, by the whole world, of that which, but a few weeks before, it would have been deemed impossible to have projected, in any form, into the public thought, in such a way, as to secure acceptance.

The cause, in so far as it implied any charge deemed immoral by old standards, or unwise has been suffered to go, as I have said, by default; but, not only that—not only has a defeat been warded off, but a positive triumph for new ideas, has been secured by this masterly inactivity. Not only has it been found safe for an orthodox preacher, and for a great leader of opinion, to commit every breach of the old and effete code of morals, and to stand upon the tacit admission of the fact, mildly but firmly—surrounded by his cohorts of elders, deacons and people—defying all criticism; but, more than this, that same conduct and that same sublime justification of that conduct on the part of his congregation and people—or what amounts to the same thing, their utter refusal even to investigate, what they half believe to be true, or what they admit may have been true, and holding Mr. Beecher in any event all right, or sufficiently right to command their allegiance—have almost lifted humanity up to a new plane—have prepared for its new departure in morals—have reversed the currents of opinion of the ages past, and have opened the way for the fullest and freest discussion of every social problem.

From this time forward, the question is no longer, what has been thought and taught to be right in social affairs, no longer merely, what has descended to us by tradition, and what has been consecrated to us by religion; but it is the new and higher question, of what is virtually true in the social relations, which is presented for our consideration; of what is natural and right; of what would lead to the highest and best results in the social architecture of the future; of what is our duty in respect to that future; of what, in other words, are the the signs of the times; what is the nature and tendency of the age we live in, and who are the men and the women who can lead the world to higher and better condictions? What of the night, how far are we along toward the breaking of the perfect day? What is the significance of modern socialism, of Christian socialism, and what of all the socialisms, and prophecies of the hour in respect to the future?

These and ten thousand others pressing and urgent questions which ignorance, prejudice and the impacted and obstinate reluctance of the community, to investigate radically new subjects, have hitherto hindered and kept back, are now fairly launched upon the tide of public investigation, and can no longer be charged upon a few erratic individuals, as a restless disposition to disturb the public peace. These great questions which are now pressing forward in the place of the old anti-slavery agitation, or the temperance reform, and of the woman's rights movement specifically, and of the hundred and one subjects and questions of reform, which have occupied the public attention during the last three or four decades, have been more fostered and favored, with reference to their early triumphant solution, by the conduct of Mr. Beecher and his congregation in this matter, than by any preceding event. I felt assured that in some way, which I was incompetent fully to foresee, the step which I took to force Mr. Beecher and his people, more openly into the current of reform, would have this desired result; but I see clearly now, that in no possible, other way, could so much have been achieved, in behalf of this result, as by this course of tacit admission and quiet default, into which their good instincts have directed them. If I ever had any, I have, therefore, no longer, any quarrel, as it now stands, with anybody about this matter.

I have said, that no one of the numerous parties mentioned by me in connection with this subject, has uttered one word of denial or explanation. There was an evidently unauthorized paragraph floating through the newspapers, to the purport that Elizabeth Cady Stanton had denied the truth of what I have stated; but the item bore no authentication of her signature and was evidently untrue. She has not denied and will not deny what I have said in the matter. A correspondent of the Hartford Times forwarding a communication from Philadelphia, adverting to the subject, says:

"But Mrs. E. Cady Stanton's course needs explanation. The papers have stated that Mrs. Stanton, being at Lewistown, Me., on reading Mrs. Woodhull's story, denounced it as far as it related to herself. Perhaps she did deny something. But Mrs. S. is a public woman, and knows how to write; why have we not a card from her, explaining the matter in full? I will tell you, Mr. Editor: simply because Mrs. Stanton dare not imperil her own reputation for veracity; for she has herself charged Mr. Beecher, to parties residing in this city and known to me, the writer, and elsewhere, with very much the same offenses of which Mrs. Woodhull speaks."

Mrs. Stanton knows all these facts too well, has mentioned them too extensively, has too much respect for her own veracity, and knows me too well in the earnestness and veracity of my serious averments, and in the boldness and energy with which I prosecute my undertakings, wantonly to deny any statement which she has made to me, and to others along with me, in relation to this important affair. She is also too deeply interested in the general progress of social emancipation to wish even, in any manner, to injure the effect which this particular campaign, in the great social warfare, is now so happily producing. None of the persons involved will hereafter, any more than they have hitherto, deny the statement I have made of the facts. The same writer in the Hartford Times, just quoted from, has the following with regard to Mr. Moulton:

"And now a word regarding Mr. Frank Moulton. Of the integrity, culture and fine social position of this gentleman we are fully assured;

and we are also authentically informed that his 'painful silence' means a great deal; for whether Mr. Moulton, at the point of a pistol, extorted from Mr. Beecher such a paper as Mrs. Woodhull defines or not, it is certain that he forced Mr. Beecher to deliver up a paper of some kind. Mr. Moulton is a gentleman, who does not go to vulgar extremes; and the fact that he felt obliged to compel Mr. Beecher to deliver up that paper, as he did, is sufficient evidence that the paper was an important one, and Mr. Moulton considered that Mr. Beecher had forfeited esteem as a gentleman, if not as a Christian. If Mr. Beecher, relying upon his former reputation, scornfully refuses to notice Mrs. W.'s main charges, perhaps he could be induced to explain the cause of Mr. Moulton's ungracious visits to him. One thing is sure, namely, that if Mr. M. ever breaks his 'painful silence' (for he will not lie), it will be found that an important paper was wrested by him from Mr. Beecher; and that this paper relates to the act which Mr. Beecher, for reasons of his own, does not yet see fit to make public."

The moment the silence should be broken, that defense or denial should be attempted, that discussion should be instituted, a hundred witnesses would spring up on every side, confirming, in generals and in particulars, all that I have said; and among these witnesses not a few would be from among the members of Plymouth Church I therefore repeat, that no sublimer spectacle has been witnessed, in any age, than the perfect reticence and complete personifiation of the policy of inaction, on the part of all the parties concerned. We may therefore dismiss them from our large list of obstacles in the way of social progress, and may enroll them at once, and for all future time, as at least the tacit friends and co-operators with the army of social emancipation.

But I promised to tell you why the press has been silent. It was partly because they were astonished by the magnitude and revolutionary nature of the facts stated; because they were therefore taken, as it were, unawares and unprepared with any well-defined opinions on the subject: and because the subject was too pregnant with importance to be dealt with in the ordinary flippant way. It was, partly, because of a highly honorable sentiment of friendship and veneration for Mr. Beecher which pervades in fact, the whole country, and which is similar in kind to the feeling which has controlled so powerfully his church and congregation; and from a general unreadiness to do or say anything, which would embarrass him in selecting his own system of tactics and his own method of defense, in the serious delemma into which he had been thrown. It was in part sympathy with the new ideas, with which fully one-half of the members of the press in this city, are more or less imbued, and the hope that if left alone, the matter would, in some way, turn out favorably for the cause of a reform, in which they were to some extent interested, while still unprepared to incur the odium of its defense; and it was in part the fear of that despotism of opinion, under which we all live, and which has, hitherto, almost preemptorily forbidden the free discussion of the social problem.

But no one of these causes, nor all of them combined, could have long prevented the open protest of the press against the abuse of the power of the law and the assault on the rights of free speech and free publication, which were involved in our arrest, if we had remained incarcerated, or could prevent it now, if we should be again arrested.

The New York Herald generously opened its columns (paying only its peppercorn of allegiance to Mrs. Grundy in its editorial columns) for me in my defense while I was in jail. The Brooklyn Eagle published a succession of caustic editorials, arraigning the officers of the law for their usurpation, and urging Mr. Beecher and his congregation to take the only legitimate measures for defending against, or denying my statement, if they were to be defended against or denied, at all. The Catholic newspapers of the country, republished extensively from us, and showed but an ill-concealed exultation, that Protestant clergymen were not exempt from the liability to the same charges which Protestants, in their so-claimed superior purity, have delighted to make against Catholic clergymen. In a word, the sympathies of the Catholics of these two cities, and perhaps of the country at large, are strongly with us in this contest; and I wish to acknowledge my great obligations to leading Catholics who have busied themselves in aiding me, in the securing of bail, and in other important matters, during my incarceration.

The Protestant pulpit had also begun to growl forth its disapprobation of Mr. Beecher's position. In a sermon preached in the West Church, Boston, on November 4, 1872, by Rev. C. A. Bartol, reported in the Liberal Christian of Saturday, December 7, this distinguished clergyman says:

"Having such a good opinion of ourselves makes us think lightly of our guilt. We eat and wipe our mouth; but we cannot outface witnesses of our error; and it is a mistake to leave specific indictments unexamined, as if simple standing could put them down. Not were we high as Colossus! The grim accusations of the woman in jail in New York will serve the commonwealth if they lead to a probing of those deepest wounds inflicted on it by secret iniquity; while the multiplication of crimes of violence arising out of jarring affectional relations ought, if anything can, short of the last fire and last war, to alarm custodians of order and Christians in their pews."

And again:

"For, the only safety is truth. A lie is no more safe than good. Things cannot be hushed up, nor crimes concealed more than flames. If anybody charges me with a sin, I shall not rely on my reputation; I shall demand investigation, and you for my investigators straightway. What corruption is that crow of suspicion after? He is not flying through the air for nothing! The church dares not explore the evil? Then let its members bear the stain!"

Socialism, the belief that just as great changes are impending, and must be effected for the ultimate good of mankind, in the relation of the sexes—in the more widespread influence of love—in the elevation of love out of its lower forms of mere passional excitement, of its purification, without repression or destruction of any part, however, of the sentiment; the belief that the construction of our homes must be radically changed, to accommodate these new ideas; that industry must be organized around the great composite home or hive of people; that women must be cared for and sustained, not in domestic bondage, but in complete freedom, and all that stands connected and related with these beliefs—in a word, Socialism is no longer confined to the few agitators and radical thinkers—is no longer to be traced home to French infidelity or free-thinking, but is widely diffused, even in the most religious circles, and where the sentiments of piety most profoundly prevail.

John H. Noyes and the Oneida Community, with their system of complex marriage or practical Free Love, were the outbirth, not of French Socialism, but of New England Revivalism, and of the more vital interpretation of the spirit and letter of the New Testament. Prof. Upham, who has recently died, and who stood for fifty years at the head of New England theology, a vital pietist of the Madame Guion school, was for many years a full convert, through his study of the Scripture, to the belief that, unlimited freedom in the relations of the sexes would, in the Millennial order, supersede our present marital restrictions. These doctrines were taught by him, in a subdued and partial sense, to the hundreds of young men who have gone forth from Bowdoin College to preach, and to pursue the various professions, during the past genera-

During the last twenty years Prof. Upham has steadily visited, from year to year, and communed with Noyes and Andrews and all the other leaders of the most advanced social doctrines. He has encouraged and upheld them by his great words, filled with religious unction and sound philosophy. He has believed that men and women were to be lifted to higher and still higher excellencies, through the deepest and most varied experiences of the heart, and that the doctrine of Love divine, as promulgated by Christ, meant nothing less, and nothing else, than the ultimate introduction upon the earth of a complete social emancipation.

I have heard Mr. Andrews say that he has been closeted, at his own house, with no less than five or six of the leading Doctors of Divinity of the country, during a single year, in the closest and most confidential consultation in respect to these great subjects; and I might occupy the remaining portion of my hour in detailing to you the simple evidences of what I can now only reaffirm as the fact: and which is, that the whole public sentiment of this great Republic is permeated and honey-combed with the heliof in and expectation of an early and e with the belief in, and expectation of, an early and complete overturn of the existing social order, and the introduction of a higher type of moral-

Now then, in what manner can those who would stave off the discus sion, those who dread the light, either with regard to existing evils or future remedies, proceed, other than by charging obscenity or indecency, upon those who persist in the discussion? Anything and everythingupon those who persist in the discussion? Anything and everything-short of these real offenses against the law, is permitted and authorized, and justified by our Republican institutions; by our doctrine of the free-dom of the press, and by our habits of thought as a people. The obscurantists and old fogies, the resisting and unready conservatives have, therefore, no other grounds of defense, no other possible means of repression; no other hopes of success, in keeping under and down, the uprising tendency to ventilate this whole subject, except by charging upon the champions of reform, these disgusting offences. Necessarily the subjects dealt with, are of a delicate nature; necessarily the public mind has to be accustomed to the treating of, and to the reading about, and to the consideration of things, which have hitherto been tabood or held to be improper for public discussion.

The science of physiology is liable to the same imputation, and has been struggling for the last twenty years for admission, in the most limited way, into our public schools, although vastly the most important of the sciences for the young, simply on the ground, that it is next to impossible to treat it, without some infringment upon established prejudices. Socialism inevitably incurs a similar liability; it is impossible to present or discuss the evils or the remedies, in which all socialism deals, without, at times, saying things, in very plain speech, about matters which are ordinarily hushed up or barely referred to by allusion.

But the world grows in its strength and capacity for facing the consideration of every subject.

the consideration of every subject. At one time the whole community was shocked and agitated, in respect to the exhibition of nude figures in statuary and painting, here in America, as against the puritanical notions of a previous generation; but all that has gone past, and the beautiful works of art, which base themselves upon the culture and admiration of the human figure, are now familiar visitants in every family.

The admission of women to the ranks of the medical profession, has been hooted at and hindered on the same grounds. A prurient and fastidious morality would, in a thousand ways, keep back the progress of the world; but the world's progress moves in too powerful a current to be repressed. Mystery and mock modesty are giving way, rapidily, before universal enlight-enment, and this little puny effort, of the inferior law officers, of the United States Government at New York, to repress the freedom, and hinder the discussion, of great and vital questions; to institute a censorship over thought and morals and free speech, is too ridiculous and is too much an anachronism, too much out of harmony, both with our institutions and the spirit of the age in which we live, to be more than a mere ripple upon the surface. The time has gone past for such measures to intervene, successfully, in the intellectual discussion of the age. The tocsin of a new order of thinking and acting, was inaugurated, for this country and the world, when Jefferson afirmed, in a single terse epigram, that error need never be feared so long as the truth is left free to combat it.

But let us consider for a moment, what it is which constitutes obscenity or indecency or indelicacy. Where is the line to be drawn between what it is proper to discuss, or speak of, or put in type, and what ought to be, or may rightly be suppressed by the law? And in reply, I would say, that nothing said with an earnest purpose and for a good end, is or can be obscene. If any other standard than this be erected the very first book to be condemned and burned for obscenity is the Bible itself. The next books will be the law books. Not a book on medical jurisprudence can be permitted to be printed or sent through the mails. The next will be the medical books. I have in my library an anatomical atlas and other works of the kind which must be instantly repressed.

I am having, at this time, an exhaustive collection made of all the passages in the Bible which may be considered, by this mode of interpretation, obscenity; and I am having, through my counsel, a similar collection made, of the prints, discussions and expositions to be found in the legal and medical books. I

am having a similar research made throughout the literature of the world; although, in this respect, I have been nearly saved from the necessity of labor, by a remarkable work which has already performed this service.

Near the end of the war, Mr. Secretary Harlan, of the Department of the Interior at Washington, moved by spasm of piety, removed the distinguished poet and philanthropist, Walt Whitman, from an office which he held in his department, on the ground of the obscenity of some of his poems. Having no appreciation of the legitimate license of genius to deal with the most delicate subjects, and, reading the sublimest passages of most deficate subjects, and, reading the sublimest passages of inspiration, with the bleared ignorance of uncultured stupidity, he thought it belonged to him, as the Young Men's Christian Association, through its pious agent, think it belongs to them to oversee the morals of the community, and he removed Whitman from office. Unaware of the fact that Rabelais, Montaigne, Hudibras, Sterne, Burns, Byron and Shakspeare himself, and fully a thousand other great noets and philosophers, fill the fully a thousand other great poets and philosophers, fill the libraries of the most refined people in all countries, and that their works abound in pictures and allusions which, in the mouths of vulgar people, would be vulgar and obscene, the pious Secretary made his ridiculous raid upon the most representative and characteristic of American poets.

Immediately, however, a storm of indignation arose. The Secretary of another department conferred on Whitman a higher office, and Whitman's literary friend, William O'Connor, wrote in defense, a pamphlet, called "The Good Gray Poet," which is the most exhaustive display of the freedom which has been accorded to genius, in this direction, which is to be found anywhere in literature. The work itself is a credit to the literary eraft. [Here followed copious quotations from the Book referred to.]

My counsel have taken this whole subject in hand; and, in case the Government of the United States ever dare, which I have assured you they will not, to press the case against me and my sister for trial, the court house, the public press and the country will be flooded with such oceans of reading matter of an unusual character from all these sources, from the Bible down to the last novelette, that those who have moved in this business will, it is hoped, be fully satiated with the results.

Such are some of the great words of the great poets found in defense of the free scope and untrammeled career of genius in literature. I recur, now, for one moment, in conclusion, to the more direct and far more weighty purpose of this discourse. I stand here to make my defense of the spirit of this age; of that drift toward social freedom, which is now bursting all bounds, and insisting upon the complete enfranchisement of the human affections.

The head and the hand are already free. Free-thinking and free-acting within the just limits which inhibit encroachment, are now grandly tolerated in the world, except in that one department of human affairs, which includes the sentiment of love. In that local centre of our lives we are still slaves. The land mourns with the bitterness of its bondage. The reverend clergymen who have labored earnestly and honestly to fasten still on the community their traditional ideas of morality, never permitting themselves even to ask if there is anything wrong in their methods; or if there is, perchance, some better way, have felt the reaction of the vital forces within their own persons and within the community and the age in which they live, as severely as any other class. The old fear of hell-fire has lost its repressive terrors, even over their consciences and lives. Somebody, it is said, has gathered the names of no less than seven hundred preachers of all sects and denominations, who have been driven from their pulpits within the last four years, and in this country alone; their congregations and the public scandalized, and themselves and their families disgraced and socially ruined by their sexual offenses against the effete and false systems of their own moral teachings.

All the other classes of society suffer no less terribly. There is a skeleton in every house. There is hardly a family of ten persons in the land which does not contain in its numbers, some one or more poor, wretched, heart-broken or tortured victim of our ill-advised laws and perverted notions of purity and prosperity; and sometimes every adult person in the entire household is such a victim of repression or compression, or else of starvation, or else, still, of gorging and satiety of their sexual

Every third person of the audience I am now addressing is a conscious, and to some extent a rebellious slave under this tyrannical social system, begotten of other ideas than those that now prevail, and which was, perhaps, well enough adapted to other times, but which now has become a galling tyranny over their domestic lives; and they know that what I say is true. Most of the remainder of my audience, and they are simply representatives of the country at large, if not so consciously, are still unconsciously dragging out a miserable social existence of domestic wretchedness, a common lot of the homes of the people, derived from the same bad brood of perni-

Repulsions, discontent and mutual torment, haunt the household everywhere. Brothels and social hells crowd the streets and avenues; passional starvation, enforced by law and a factitious public opinion on the one hand, and sickly and weary wives, and even husbands, on the other hand, overwrought, disgusted, and literally murered, in their utter incompetency to meet the legitimate demands of healthy natures, coupled with them; ten thousand forms of domestic damnation cropping and bursting out in ten thousand ways, through all the avenues of life; and everybody crying, "Peace! peace! when there is no peace;" and the few who dare to speak of these evils and to call for a remedy, hounded to the death by the same old persecuting spirit, which, from the earliest ages, has met and martyred every new and struggling reformatory idea.

But there is, nevertheless, a brighter side to the picture. The dawn of the better day is already shining over the hill-tops of the gorgeous orient. Sexual freedom, the last to be claimed for man, in the long struggle for universal emancipation—the least understood and the most feared of all the freedoms, but destined to be the most beneficent of any—will burst upon the world, through a short and sharp encounter with the forces of evil. We who are assembled in this very hall to-night, will, many of us, meet in a few months or years, to celebrate the glorious incoming of the age of a rounded-out and completed Human Freedom. The passions, instead of being regarded as we have been taught to regard them, as merely satanic or malign forces to be repressed or enslaved, will be recognized for what they are; as the roice of God in the soul; as the promptings of our best nature; as the holy premonitions of a divine harmony in society, so soon as they shall be understood and adjusted under the beneficent influences of freedom.

Rising up out of our false notions of propriety and purity; coming to know that everything is proper which enhances happiness and injures no one; and that everything, whatsoever, is pure that is healthful and natural, we shall greet each other on that joyous occasion with smiles of a benign joy, while looking back with a touch of sadness through the past hours of the long night of social bondage; and shall prepare, from that day, for the perfect and pure blessedness of the coming millennium of the absolute liberty of the Human Heart. But there is, nevertheless, a brighter side to the picture. The dawn of the better

THE "GAG" IN BOSTON.

By the disposition evinced toward her by the Boston Board of Aldermen, Mrs. Victoria C. Woodhull becomes entitled to a place on the same muster-roll with some of the brightest names in our annals. The companionship of men even more "revered" than the pastor of Plymouth Church, may now be hers. Let us recall some of these. Without dwelling on Roger Williams, whom Massachusetts banished for his advocacy of freedom in thought and speech; or on Mrs. Ann Hutchinson and others, who suffered for a similar cause in the colonial era, we have had martyrs to free speech in Bos ton in our own age. There was Garrison-great and illustrious name!-who had one of his anti-slavery meetings broken up by a mob, composed, as the Advertiser said, of "gentlemen of property and standing," and who was himself dragged through the streets of Boston by the same mob, with a halter around his neck, and finally lodged, for protection from further violence, in the Lowell street jail.

Who that has listened to the "silver tongue" of Wendell Phillips, does not remember the occasion when that silver voice was first heard in old Faneuil Hall? It was at a meet ing called to express sympathy for the murder of the antislavery martyr, Lovejoy. Then it was that the saintly Channing caught his first glimpse of the capacity for cruelty of "the rascal rabble." Then it was, as he sat paralyzed with terror upon the platform, that he began to feel that, after all, the Calvinistic doctrine of total depravity might be true. The mob that applied the "gag" at that meeting was led by the the Attorney General, Mr. Austin, who, but an few months since, descended to the tomb.

At a later day, 'General Caleb Cushing, returning home from the war with Mexico, with his laurels, nobly won in that war, fresh and thick upon him, was assailed by a mob, and for over two hours was unable to get a hearing. And at a still later day a greater than General Cushing-Daniel Webster-had the mortification of seeing the doors of Faneuil Hall closed to him, by the Boston Board of Aldermen. And only within a few weeks preceding his death were the doors of that historic edifice again opened to him, "on golden hinges turning." Will any one who heard Mr. Webster's last speech in that old hall ever forget that single emphatic word with which the great "Expounder" rebuked the partisan narrowness of Moses Kimball and his clique? "And, gentlemen, let us not forget who we are, and where we are. This is Faneuil Hall OPEN!"

That hall has also been closed to the Anti-Slavery people, and Mayors have "crooked the pregnant hinges of the knee" to mobs, and interfered to prevent the exercise of free speech on several memorable occasions. In Huntington Hall, Lowell, in 1860, General B. F. Butler suffered and was silenced. Judge Charles Cowley, Mrs. Woodhull's Boston counsel, about the same time, was assailed by a mob in the same hall, and for nearly an hour prevented from getting a

Lastly, on the same day in which Mrs. Wcodhull was denied the use of Music Hall, in Boston, Massachusetts disowned her noblest son, her Legislature passing a resolution of condemnation on Charles Sumner. FREE SPEECH.

--"FOR CHRIST'S SAKE."

Still again, in connection with the Boston disgrace, did the New York Comstock put in an appearance; and if anything were lacking to demonstrate beyond the possibility of a doubt, what his motives were in first prosecuting us for obscenity, it is had in this. Immediately it was known that we were going to Boston to speak, a new movement was set on foot, so that we might be prevented from leaving the city. Three affidavits were obtained, setting forth certain things, and, as we are informed, an order of arrest granted upon them. But we left the city before the "job" was completed. Nothing daunted, however, by not being able to stop us here, the request to have the arrest made there was telegraphed to Boston. The stool pigeon of the Young Men's Christian Association saw us in the office where The Train Lique was kept, and undoubtedly construed our presence there, on the eve of departing to Boston, to mean that we carried some of that "contraband" with us. Whether we did or no, we shall not at present state : but the lynx-eyed searchers for obscenity [in Boston did not make any sufficient discovery upon which to base our arrest. We are told that the warrants referred to are held for use at another and future time-perhaps to prevent us from speaking in this city on the evening of the 9th inst.—but we warn these people that they had better desist before taking the one step too many. They may hoodwink the people for awhile, by the idea that they are following us for Christ's sake, but a step too far will leave them exposed in their hypocrisy before the whole people. Hypocrites! If you do not think you have got rope enough yet, please take more.

HORACE GREELEY ON "THE ROCHESTER RAP-PINGS."

ladies in Rochester, who have been favored as the medium destined to be diffused and rendered clearer until all who through which manifestations (believed to be truthful and will may communicate freely and beneficially with their good) from the spirit-world, appeared in the New York friends who have "shuffled off this mortal coil." Of all this Tribune of August 9, 1850. Mr. Greeley has done what is just in this matter, and what we expected from our knowl- simply to print (which we shall not) the questions we asked

some fire days and the second second

wantonly assailed in other papers, but a writer had implicated their honesty in numerous articles published in the Tribune, the ostensible object of which was to show that what are called "The Spiritual Rappings," was produced by fraud and collusion. Now, hear what Mr. Greeley says upon this subject:

"THE MYSTERIORS RAPPINGS.

"Mrs. Fox and her three daughters left our city yesterday, on their return to Rochester, after a stay here of some weeks, during which they have freely subjected the mysterious influence by which they seem to be accompanied to every reasonable test, and the keen and critical scrutiny of the hundreds who have chosen to visit them, or whom they have been invited to visit. The rooms which they occupied at the hotel have been repeatedly searched and scrutinized; they have been taken without an hour's notice into houses they had never before entered; they have been all unconsciously placed on a glass surface concealed under the carpet, in order to interrupt electric vibrations; they have been disrobed by a committee of ladies, appointed without notice, and insisting that neither of them should leave the room until the investigation had been made, etc., etc., yet we believe no one to this moment pretends that he has detected either of them in producing or causing the 'rappings,' nor do we think any of their contemners has invented a plausible theory to account for the production of these sounds, nor the singular intelligence which (certainly at times) has seemed to be manifested through them. Some ten or twelve days since, they gave up their rooms at the hotel, and devoted the remainder of their sojourn here to visiting several families to which they had been invited by persons interested in the subject, and subjecting the singular influence to a closer and calmer examination than could be given to it at a hotel and before casual companies of strangers, drawn together by vague curiosity, more rational interest, or predetermined and invincible hostility. Our own dwelling was among those they thus visited, not merely submitting to, but courting the fullest, keenest inquiry with regard to the alleged 'manifestations' from the spirit-world by which they were attended. We devoted what time we could spare from our duties out of three days to this subject, and it would be the basest cowardice not to say that we are convinced beyond a doubt of their perfect integrity and good faith in the premises. Whatever may be the origin or cause of the 'rapping,' the ladies in whose presence they occur do not make them. tested this thoroughly and to our entire satisfaction. Their conduct and bearing is as unlike that of deceivers as possible; and we think no one acquainted with them could believe them at all capable of engaging in so daring, impious and shameful a juggle as this would be if they caused the sounds. And it is not possible that such a juggle should have been so long perpetrated in public yet escape detection. A juggler performs one feat quickly and hurries on to another; he does not devote whole weeks after weeks to doing the same thing over and over deliberately, in full view of hundreds who sit beside or confronting him in broad daylight, not to enjoy but to detect his trick. A deceiver naturally avoids conversation on the subject of his knavery; but these ladies converse freely and fully with regard to the origin of these 'rappings' in their dwelling years ago; the various sensations they caused, the neighborhood excitement created, the progress of the developments-what they have seen, heard and experienced from first to last. If all were false, they could not fail to have involved themselves ere this in a labyrinth of blasting contradictions, as each separately gives accounts of the most astounding occurrences at this or that time. Persons foolish enough so to commit themselves without reserve or caution could not have deferred a thorough selfexposure for a single week. Of course, a variety of opinions of so strange a matter would naturally be formed by the various persons who have visited them, and we presume those who have merely run into their room for an hour or so and listened among a huddle of strangers, to a medley of questions-not all admitting of very profitable answers, put to certain invisible intelligences, and answered by 'rappings' or singular noises on the floor, table, etc., as the alphabet was called over or otherwise, would naturally go away, perhaps puzzled, probably disgusted, rarely convinced. It is hardly possible that a matter ostensibly so grave could be presented under circumstances less favorable to conviction. But of those who have enjoyed proper opportunities for a full investigation, we believe that fully three-fourths are convinced, as we are, that these singular sounds and seeming manifestations are not produced by Mrs. Fox and her daughters, nor by any human being connected with them. How they are caused, and whence they proceed, are questions which open a much wider field of inquiry, with whose way-marks we do not profess to be familiar. He must be well acquainted with the arena of the universe who shall presume dogmatically to decide that these manifestations are natural or supernatural. The ladies say that they are informed that this is but the beginning of a new era or economy, in which spirits clothed in flesh are to be more closely and palpably connected with those which have put on immortality—that the manifesta-The following testimony to the good character of the tions have already appeared in many other families, and are we know nothing, and shall guess nothing. But if we were edge of his character. Our readers (some of them) know and the answers we received during a two-hours' uninter-

that the character of these ladies had not only been most rupted conference with the 'rappers,' we should at once be accused of having done so expressly to sustain the theory which regards these manifestations as the utterances of departed spirits. We believe it is the intention of the ladies to shun henceforth all publicity or notoriety, so far as possible. They do not expect or wish to make gain of the 'rappings;' they have desired to vindicate their own characters from the gross imputations so freely cast upon them; believing that effected, they hope to be permitted hereafter to live in that seclusion which befits their sex, their station and their wishes. We trust they may be permitted to do so."-H. G.

RESOLUTIONS.

SPRINGFIELD, Mass.

At a meeting held in Union Hall, Springfield, Mass., Sunday, November 10, with Mr. E. W. Dickenson President, the following preamble and resolutions were drawn up and submitted to the Spiritualists, by C. Fannie Allyn, and after slight discussion were passed unanimously:

WHEREAS, In the march of human events that is attendant upon the present transition life of Church and State, it has been deemed necessary to place under arrest and trial, Mrs. Victoria C. Woodhull (President of American Spiritualist Association), Tennie C. Claffin, Colonel Blood, and others connected with them, on the charge of issuing obscene publications, and also for alleged libel, we have, therefore, in solemn earnestness, passed the following resolu-

Resolved, As believers in the Golden Rule, we do hereby tender to these friends of humanity our heartfelt regrets and sincere sympathy in their present situation.

Resolved. That we honestly think if the law suppresses their paper on the charge of obscenity, it should deal in like manner with sundry other publications now extant; also with some of the books in the New Testament, as many of these are universally acknowledged to be teeming with language no parents with modesty can read to their children.

Resolved, That we believe their motives were commendable, and should be so regarded by all who profess to love God and humanity, as they seemingly were for the purpose of carrying out principles of equal treatment to all, to awaken public thought to the necessity of being instead of seeming, and to favor the interests of Truth versus Hypocrisy.

Resolved, That the law that can acquit a McFarland, with smiles, should fully free Woodhull, Claffin, Blood, and others, with justice.

Resolved, That as Beecher's church members and friends are determined to stand by their brother in distress, whether he is guilty or guiltless; that unless the charity of Spiritualism is a sham, its philosophy a lie, and its beautiful teachings less potent than old theology, we, and all friends of progress, should act in like manner toward these sufferers, leaving those without guilt to cast stones, it being our duty to cheer, counsel and aid these friends who have stood by Spiritualism in the past and present.

Resolved, That in this matter we adopt for our standard E. H. Britten's Third and Ninth Commandments, and 5th and 7th Laws of Right, that read as follows:

"Thou shalt search by every attainable means for the laws that underlie life and being; thou shalt strive to comprehend these laws, live in harmony with them, and make them the laws of thine own life, thy rule and guide in all thine actions." "Thou shalt be obedient to the laws of thine own land in which thou dost reside, in all things which do not conflict with thy highest sense of right." "Charity in thought, striving to excuse the failings of others; charity in deeds, wherever, whenever and to whomsoever the opportunity offers." "Self-sacrifice, wherever the interests of others are to be benefited by our endurance."

Resolved, That a copy of these resolutions be forwarded to the sisters in Ludlow-street Jail, and to Colonel Blood, in Jefferson-market Jail; also to the Banner of Light, for pub-

Voted by the same audience that C. F. A. should copy and send the resolutions; agreeable to which vote I forward this. C. FANNIE ALLYN.

THE NAKED TRUTH.

BY WILLIAM CULLEN BRYANT.

Beautiful Truth, once in the days of yore, Put on the brave array Which then the goddesses of Hellas wore, And issued to the day
Robed in such sort, with graces so divine,
That men, adoring, built to her a shrine. The gods, indignant at the sight, Rose in defense of their invaded right. "Horror and infamy," they cried,
"And profanation!" and they came and went,
Pacing th' ambrosial courts from side to side, Till a wild tumult filled the firmament.

Jove, to appease their fury, left the skies,
And quickly stood before
The shrine of Truth, determined to chastise
The sacrilege: he tore
From her the regal mantle which she wore,
And stripped the robe away,
And flung to Falsehood's hand
Mantle and robe to serve for her array. Mantle and robe to serve for her array, And gave to truth this terrible command: "BE THOU FOR EVER NAKED FROM THIS DAY."

And therefore, reader, let not Truth be blamed, If, evermore, since then, She hides in corners, humbled and ashamed, AND RARELY SEEN OF MEN.